

**UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION**

Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended March 31, 2012

or

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from _____ to _____

Commission File Number: 001-33301

ACCURAY INCORPORATED

(Exact Name of Registrant as Specified in Its Charter)

Delaware

(State or Other Jurisdiction of Incorporation or Organization)

20-8370041

(IRS Employer Identification Number)

1310 Chesapeake Terrace

Sunnyvale, California 94089

(Address of Principal Executive Offices Including Zip Code)

(408) 716-4600

(Registrant's Telephone Number, Including Area Code)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant: (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§ 229.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer," and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer

Accelerated filer

Non-accelerated filer

Smaller reporting company

(Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes No

As of April 23, 2012, there were 71,299,498 shares of the Registrant's Common Stock, par value \$0.001 per share, outstanding.

Item 2.	Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	21
Item 3.	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk	29
Item 4.	Controls and Procedures	29
PART II.	Other Information	31
Item 1.	Legal Proceedings	31
Item 1A.	Risk Factors	31
Item 2.	Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds	38
Item 3.	Defaults Upon Senior Securities	38
Item 4.	Mine Safety Disclosure	38
Item 5.	Other Information	38
Item 6.	Exhibits	38
Signatures		39

[Table of Contents](#)

PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Item 1. Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

Accuray Incorporated
Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets
 (in thousands, except share and per share amounts)

	March 31, 2012 (unaudited)	June 30, 2011 (1)
Assets		
Current assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 151,328	\$ 95,906
Restricted cash	3,455	3,172
Accounts receivable, net of allowance for doubtful accounts of \$1,305 and \$324 at March 31, 2012 and June 30, 2011, respectively	72,481	61,853
Inventories	85,122	97,836
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	13,798	21,115
Deferred cost of revenue—current	6,094	5,840
Total current assets	<u>332,278</u>	<u>285,722</u>
Property and equipment, net	39,668	44,823
Goodwill	56,180	54,474
Intangible assets, net	53,842	66,039
Deferred cost of revenue—noncurrent	2,755	2,258
Other assets	8,006	2,468
Total assets	<u>\$ 492,729</u>	<u>\$ 455,784</u>
Liabilities and equity		
Current liabilities:		
Accounts payable	\$ 23,468	\$ 38,645
Accrued compensation	24,919	27,406
Other accrued liabilities	26,795	43,012
Customer advances	19,170	25,829
Deferred revenue—current	88,724	68,152
Total current liabilities	<u>183,076</u>	<u>203,044</u>
Long-term other liabilities	6,212	6,321
Deferred revenue—noncurrent	8,280	6,092
Long-term debt	78,460	—
Total liabilities	<u>276,028</u>	<u>215,457</u>
Commitments and contingencies (Note 7)		
Equity:		

Preferred stock, \$0.001 par value; authorized: 5,000,000 shares; no shares issued and outstanding	—	—
Common stock, \$0.001 par value; authorized: 100,000,000 shares; issued: 71,257,940 and 72,199,837 shares at March 31, 2012 and June 30, 2011, respectively; outstanding: 71,257,940 and 70,059,819 shares at March 31, 2012 and June 30, 2011, respectively	71	70
Additional paid-in capital	405,393	373,963
Accumulated other comprehensive income	1,877	127
Accumulated deficit	(196,163)	(144,385)
Total stockholders' equity	211,178	229,775
Noncontrolling interest	5,523	10,552
Total equity	216,701	240,327
Total liabilities and equity	<u>\$ 492,729</u>	<u>\$ 455,784</u>

(1) The condensed consolidated balance sheet at June 30, 2011 has been derived from audited consolidated financial statements.

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these condensed consolidated financial statements.

3

[Table of Contents](#)

Accuray Incorporated
Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations
(in thousands, except per share amounts)
(Unaudited)

	Three Months Ended March 31,		Nine Months Ended March 31,	
	2012	2011	2012	2011
Net revenue:				
Products	\$ 59,875	\$ 35,584	\$ 179,851	\$ 90,771
Services	41,720	18,253	127,218	54,833
Other	221	910	1,621	1,457
Total net revenue	<u>101,816</u>	<u>54,747</u>	<u>308,690</u>	<u>147,061</u>
Cost of revenue:				
Cost of products	32,401	14,199	103,574	34,887
Cost of services	33,100	12,152	103,626	35,397
Cost of other	204	1,083	708	1,761
Total cost of revenue	<u>65,705</u>	<u>27,434</u>	<u>207,908</u>	<u>72,045</u>
Gross profit	36,111	27,313	100,782	75,016
Operating expenses:				
Selling and marketing	12,449	8,127	40,047	23,874
Research and development	23,783	9,291	64,222	26,651
General and administrative	14,213	10,421	42,845	27,461
Total operating expenses	<u>50,445</u>	<u>27,839</u>	<u>147,114</u>	<u>77,986</u>
Loss from operations	(14,334)	(526)	(46,332)	(2,970)
Other income (expense), net	(952)	22	(8,323)	2,314
Loss before provision for income taxes	(15,286)	(504)	(54,655)	(656)
Provision for income taxes	1,247	656	2,152	1,046
Net loss	(16,533)	(1,160)	(56,807)	(1,702)
Noncontrolling interest	(1,652)	—	(5,029)	—
Net loss attributable to stockholders	<u>\$ (14,881)</u>	<u>\$ (1,160)</u>	<u>\$ (51,778)</u>	<u>\$ (1,702)</u>
Net loss per share attributable to stockholders				
Basic	\$ (0.21)	\$ (0.02)	\$ (0.73)	\$ (0.03)
Diluted	\$ (0.21)	\$ (0.02)	\$ (0.73)	\$ (0.03)
Weighted average common shares used in computing net loss per share				
Basic	<u>71,120</u>	<u>59,960</u>	<u>70,692</u>	<u>59,298</u>
Diluted	<u>71,120</u>	<u>59,960</u>	<u>70,692</u>	<u>59,298</u>

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these condensed consolidated financial statements.

4

[Table of Contents](#)

Accuray Incorporated
Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows
(in thousands)
(Unaudited)

Nine Months Ended
March 31,

	2012	2011
Cash Flows From Operating Activities		
Net loss	\$ (56,807)	\$ (1,702)
Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash used in operating activities:		
Depreciation and amortization	24,512	4,446
Share-based compensation	6,301	6,395
Accretion of interest on long-term debt	2,590	—
Provision for bad debts	997	111
Provision for write-down of inventories	2,007	687
Loss (gain) on disposal of property and equipment	245	(22)
Changes in assets and liabilities:		
Restricted cash	(285)	—
Accounts receivable	(12,942)	(6,156)
Inventories	9,242	(7,826)
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	7,046	867
Deferred cost of revenue	563	5,503
Other assets	(2,678)	(102)
Accounts payable	(15,803)	(2,950)
Accrued liabilities	(15,577)	(531)
Customer advances	(6,333)	(22)
Deferred revenue	24,135	(7,787)
Net cash used in operating activities	(32,787)	(9,089)
Cash Flows From Investing Activities		
Purchases of property and equipment, net	(7,714)	(4,061)
Acquisition of business	(1,384)	—
Purchase of investments	—	(100,710)
Sale and maturity of investments	—	120,817
Net cash (used in) provided by investing activities	(9,098)	16,046
Cash Flows From Financing Activities		
Proceeds from issuance of common stock	1,652	3,281
Proceeds from employee stock purchase plan	1,052	973
Proceeds from debt, net of costs	96,100	—
Net cash provided by financing activities	98,804	4,254
Effect of exchange rate changes on cash and cash equivalents	(1,497)	687
Net increase in cash and cash equivalents	55,422	11,898
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	95,906	45,434
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$ 151,328	\$ 57,332

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these condensed consolidated financial statements.

[Table of Contents](#)

Accuray Incorporated
Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements
(Unaudited)

1. Description of Business

Organization

Accuray Incorporated (together with its subsidiaries, the “Company”) is incorporated in Delaware. The Company designs, develops and sells advanced medical radiation systems for the treatment of tumors throughout the body. The CyberKnife Systems are advanced, image-guided robotic systems used to deliver radiosurgery for the treatment of solid tumors anywhere in the body.

On June 10, 2011, the Company completed the acquisition of TomoTherapy Incorporated (“TomoTherapy”) by acquiring all of TomoTherapy’s common stock in exchange for cash and shares of Accuray common stock. TomoTherapy designs, manufactures and sells systems used to deliver advanced radiation therapy for the treatment of a wide range of cancer types. The condensed consolidated financial statements include the financial results of TomoTherapy prospectively from the date of acquisition.

2. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

Basis of Presentation and Principles of Consolidation

The condensed consolidated financial statements include the accounts of the Company, its wholly-owned subsidiaries and a variable interest entity, Compact Particle Acceleration Corporation (“CPAC”) (for further information, see “Note 11, Investment in CPAC”). All significant inter-company transactions and balances have been eliminated in consolidation.

The accompanying condensed consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with United States generally accepted accounting principles, (“GAAP”), pursuant to the rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission (the “SEC”). Certain information and note disclosures have been condensed or omitted pursuant to such rules and regulations. The unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements have been prepared on the same basis as the annual financial statements and, in the opinion of management, reflect all adjustments, which include only normal recurring

adjustments, necessary for a fair presentation of the periods presented. The results for the three and nine months ended March 31, 2012 are not necessarily indicative of the results to be expected for the year ending June 30, 2012, for any other interim period or for any future year.

Use of Estimates

The preparation of condensed consolidated financial statements in conformity with GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenues and expenses, and related disclosures at the date of the financial statements. Key estimates and assumptions made by the Company relate to revenue recognition, business combination and intangible asset impairment, inventories, share-based compensation expense, income taxes, loss contingencies and corporate bonus expenses and accruals. Actual results could differ materially from those estimates.

Foreign Currency

The Company's international subsidiaries use their local currencies as their functional currencies. For those subsidiaries, assets and liabilities are translated at exchange rates in effect at the balance sheet date and income and expense accounts at the average exchange rate. Resulting translation adjustments are excluded from the determination of net loss and are recorded in accumulated other comprehensive income as a separate component of stockholders' equity. Net foreign currency exchange transaction gains or losses are included as a component of other income (expense), net, in the Company's condensed consolidated statements of operations.

Cash and Cash Equivalents

Cash equivalents consist of amounts invested in highly liquid investment accounts with original maturities of three months or less on the date of purchase. Cash equivalents are comprised of money market funds and certificates of deposit.

Restricted Cash

Restricted cash primarily relates to funds held related to Value-Added Tax ('VAT') guarantees in a foreign jurisdiction and certain performance obligation guarantees.

[Table of Contents](#)

Fair Value of Financial Instruments

In May 2011, the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") issued additional guidance on fair value measurements and related disclosures. The new guidance clarifies the application of existing guidance on fair value measurement for non-financial assets and requires the disclosure of quantitative information about the unobservable inputs used in a fair value measurement. This guidance is effective on a prospective basis for interim and annual periods beginning after December 15, 2011. The adoption of this guidance during the three months ended March 31, 2012 did not have any impact on the Company's financial statements.

The carrying values of the Company's financial instruments including cash equivalents, restricted cash, accounts receivable and accounts payable are approximately equal to their respective fair values due to the relatively short-term nature of these instruments.

The fair value of the 3.75% Convertible Senior Notes due August 1, 2016 (the "Convertible Notes") was \$100.0 million at March 31, 2012 and is measured on a non-recurring basis using Level 2 inputs based upon observable inputs of the Company's underlying stock price and the time value of the conversion option, since an observable quoted price of the Convertible Notes is not readily available.

Concentration of Credit and Other Risks

The Company's cash and cash equivalents are mainly deposited with several major financial institutions. At times, deposits in these institutions exceed the amount of insurance provided on such deposits. The Company has not experienced any losses in such accounts and believes that it is not exposed to any significant risk on these balances.

For the three and nine months ended March 31, 2012, there were no customers that represented 10% or more of total net revenue. For the three and nine months ended March 31, 2011, there was one customer and no customers, respectively, that represented 10% or more of total net revenue. At March 31, 2012 and June 30, 2011, there were no customers and one customer, respectively, whose accounts receivable balance was 10% or more of the Company's total accounts receivable.

Accounts receivable are typically not collateralized. The Company performs ongoing credit evaluations of its customers and maintains reserves for potential credit losses. Accounts receivable are deemed past due in accordance with the contractual terms of the agreement. Accounts are charged against the allowance for doubtful accounts once collection efforts are unsuccessful. Historically, such losses have been within management's expectations.

Single source suppliers presently provide the Company with several components. In most cases, if a supplier was unable to deliver these components, the Company believes that it would be able to find other sources for these components subject to any regulatory qualifications, if required.

Inventories

Inventories are stated at the lower of cost (on a first-in, first-out basis) or market value. Excess and obsolete inventories are written down based on historical sales and forecasted demand, as judged by management. The Company determines inventory and product costs, which include allocated production overheads, through use of standard costs.

Revenue Recognition

The Company earns revenue from the sale of products, the operation of its shared ownership program, and the provision of related services, which include installation services, post-contract customer support (“PCS”), training and other professional services. The Company records its revenues net of any value added or sales tax. From time to time, the Company introduces customers to third party financing organizations. No amounts received from these third party financing organizations are at risk.

In the first quarter of fiscal 2011, the Company adopted Accounting Standards Update (“ASU”) 2009-13, *Multiple-Deliverable Revenue Arrangements*, and ASU 2009-14, *Certain Arrangements That Include Software Elements*. These standards changed the requirements for establishing separate units of accounting in a multiple element arrangement and require the allocation of arrangement consideration to each deliverable to be based on the relative selling price. The FASB also amended the accounting standards for revenue recognition to exclude software that is contained in a tangible product from the scope of software revenue guidance if the software is essential to the tangible product’s functionality. The Company adopted these new standards on a prospective basis. For revenue arrangements that were entered into or materially modified after the adoption of these standards, implementation of this new authoritative guidance had an insignificant impact on the Company’s reported net revenue since the first quarter of fiscal 2011 as compared to net revenue if the related arrangements entered into or modified after the effective date were subject to the accounting requirements in effect in the prior year.

[Table of Contents](#)

The Company frequently enters into sales arrangements with customers that contain multiple elements or deliverables. For revenue arrangements with multiple elements that were entered into prior to the adoption of the new standards and that have not subsequently been materially modified, the Company allocates arrangement consideration to each element based upon vendor specific objective evidence (“VSOE”) of fair value of the respective elements. VSOE of fair value for each element is based upon the Company’s standard rates charged for the product or service when such product or service is sold separately or based upon the price established by the Company’s pricing committee when that product or service is not yet being sold separately. When contracts contain multiple elements, and VSOE of fair value exists for all undelivered elements, the Company accounts for the delivered elements, principally the system and optional product upgrades, based upon the residual method. If VSOE of fair value does not exist for all the undelivered elements, all revenue is deferred until the earlier of: (1) delivery of all elements, and (2) establishment of VSOE of fair value for all remaining undelivered elements.

Under the new accounting guidance, in evaluating revenue recognition for arrangements which contain multiple deliverables, the Company determined that in certain instances it was not able to establish VSOE for all deliverables in an arrangement as the Company infrequently sells each element on a stand-alone basis, does not price products within a narrow range, or has a limited sales history. When VSOE cannot be established, the Company attempts to establish the selling price of each element based on relevant third-party evidence (“TPE”). TPE is determined based on competitors’ prices for similar deliverables when sold separately. Generally, the Company’s offerings contain a significant level of proprietary technology, customization or differentiation such that the comparable pricing of products with similar functionality cannot be obtained. Furthermore, the Company is unable to reliably determine what similar competitors’ products’ selling prices are on a stand-alone basis. Therefore, the Company typically is not able to determine TPE.

When the Company is unable to establish selling price using VSOE or TPE, the Company uses its best estimate of selling price (“BESP”) in the Company’s allocation of arrangement consideration. The objective of BESP is to determine the price at which the Company would transact a sale if the product or service were sold on a stand-alone basis. BESP is generally used for offerings that are not typically sold on a stand-alone basis or for new or highly customized offerings. The Company determines BESP for a product or service by considering multiple factors including, but not limited to, pricing practices, internal costs, geographies and gross margin. The determination of BESP is made through consultation with and formal approval by the Company’s pricing committee, taking into consideration the overall go-to-market pricing strategy.

As the Company’s go-to-market strategies and other factors evolve, the Company may modify its pricing practices in the future, which could result in changes in selling prices, including VSOE, TPE and BESP. As a result, the Company’s future revenue recognition for multiple element arrangements could differ materially from that recorded in the current period. The Company regularly reviews VSOE, TPE and BESP and maintains internal controls over the establishment and update of these inputs.

The Company has a limited number of software offerings which are not required to deliver the tangible product’s essential functionality and can be sold separately. Revenues from sales of these software products and related post-contract support are accounted for under software revenue recognition rules. The Company’s multiple-element arrangements may therefore have a software deliverable that is subject to the existing software revenue recognition guidance. The revenue for these multiple-element arrangements is allocated to the software deliverable or group of software deliverables and the non-software deliverables based on the relative selling prices of all of the deliverables in the arrangement using the hierarchy in the new revenue recognition accounting guidance.

The Company recognizes product revenues when there is persuasive evidence of an arrangement, the fee is fixed or determinable, collection of the fee is probable and delivery has occurred. Payments received in advance of product shipment are recorded as customer advances and are recognized as revenue or deferred revenue upon product shipment or installation.

The Company assesses the probability of collection based on a number of factors, including past transaction history with the customer and the credit-worthiness of the customer. The Company generally does not request collateral from its customers. If the Company determines that collection is not probable, the Company will defer the fee and recognize revenue upon receipt of cash.

The Company records revenues from sales of systems to distributors on either a sell-through or sell-in basis, depending on the terms of the distribution agreement as well as terms and conditions executed for each sale, and once all revenue recognition criteria have been met. For sales of product upgrades and accessories to distributors, revenue is recognized on either a sell-through or sell-in basis, depending upon the terms of the purchase order or signed quotation and once all revenue recognition criteria have been met.

The Company’s agreements with customers and distributors for system sales generally do not contain product return rights. Certain distributor agreements include parts inventory buy-back provisions upon distributorship termination. The Company accrues an inventory buy-back liability when and if such distributorship termination is expected.

Product Revenue

The majority of product revenue is generated from sales of CyberKnife and TomoTherapy systems. The Company sells its systems with PCS contracts that provide for upgrades when and if they become available, training points and at times, professional services. If the Company is responsible for

installation, the Company recognizes revenue only after installation and acceptance of the system. Otherwise, revenue is recognized upon delivery.

[Table of Contents](#)

Service Revenue

Service revenue is generated primarily from warranty services, post warranty services, installation services, unspecified when and if available product upgrades, training, and professional services. Warranty and post warranty service revenue is deferred and recognized ratably over the service period, generally 12-18 months, until no further obligation exists. The warranty service period generally starts upon product acceptance. Training and consulting service revenues that are not deemed essential to the functionality of the systems are recognized as such services are performed. Installation service revenue is recognized concurrent with system revenue.

Costs associated with providing services are expensed when incurred, except when those costs are related to system upgrades where revenue recognition has been deferred. In those cases, the costs are deferred and are recognized over the period of revenue recognition.

Other revenue

Other revenue primarily consists of research and development and construction contract revenues.

Shared ownership program

The Company also enters into arrangements under its shared ownership program with certain customers. Agreements under the shared ownership program typically have a term of five years, during which the customer has the option to purchase the system, either at the end of the contractual period or in advance, at the customer's request, at pre-determined prices. Under the terms of this program, the Company retains title to its system, while the customer has use of the system. The Company generally receives a minimum monthly payment and earns additional revenues from the customer based upon its use of the system. The Company may provide unspecified upgrades during the term of each program when and if available. Upfront non-refundable payments and minimum monthly payments from the customer are recognized as revenue over the contractual period. Additional revenues beyond the minimum payments from the shared ownership program are recorded as they become earned and receivable and are included within shared ownership program revenues, which are included in products revenue in the condensed consolidated statements of operations.

Future minimum revenues under shared ownership arrangements as of March 31, 2012 are as follows (in thousands):

<u>Year Ending June 30,</u>	<u>Amount</u>
2012 (remaining 3 months)	\$ 300
2013	1,801
2014	1,857
2015	1,857
2016	1,436
Thereafter	540
Total	<u>\$ 7,791</u>

Under the terms of the shared ownership program, the customer has the option to purchase a system at pre-determined prices based on the period the system has been in use and considering the lease payments already received. Revenue from such sales is recorded in accordance with the Company's revenue recognition policy, taking into account the PCS and any other elements that might be sold as part of the arrangement. At March 31, 2012, the Company had four systems installed under its shared ownership program. There were no sales of systems that were formerly under the shared ownership program during the three and nine months ended March 31, 2012. Product revenue of \$3.6 million was recognized during the nine months ended March 31, 2011 from the sale of one CyberKnife system that was formerly a part of the Company's shared ownership program.

The systems associated with the Company's shared ownership program are recorded within property and equipment on the accompanying condensed consolidated balance sheets. Depreciation and warranty expenses attributable to the shared ownership systems are recorded within cost of products on the accompanying condensed consolidated statements of operations.

Long-term construction and manufacturing contracts

The Company recognizes revenue and cost of revenue related to long-term construction and manufacturing contracts using contract accounting on the percentage-of-completion or the completed contract method. The Company records such revenue under other revenue and cost of such revenue under cost of other in the condensed consolidated statements of operations. Any loss provision identified from the total contract in the period is recorded as an increase to cost of revenue.

Deferred Revenue and Deferred Cost of Revenue

Deferred revenue consists of deferred product revenue, deferred shared ownership program revenue, deferred service revenue and deferred other revenue. Deferred product revenue arises from timing differences between the shipment of product and satisfaction of all revenue recognition criteria consistent with the Company's revenue recognition policy. Deferred shared ownership program revenue results from the receipt of advance payments that will be recognized ratably over the term of the shared ownership program. Deferred service revenue results from the advance payment for services to be delivered over a period of time, usually one year. Service revenue is recognized ratably over the service period. Deferred cost of revenue consists of the direct costs associated with the manufacturing of units and direct service costs for which the revenue has been deferred in accordance with the Company's revenue recognition policies. Deferred revenue and associated deferred cost of revenue expected to be realized within one year are classified as current liabilities and current assets, respectively.

Goodwill and Purchased Intangible Assets

Goodwill represents the excess of acquisition cost over the fair value of tangible and identified intangible net assets of businesses acquired. Goodwill is not amortized, but is evaluated for impairment on an annual basis or when impairment indicators are present. In the first step of the analysis, the Company's assets and liabilities, including existing goodwill and other intangible assets, are assigned to the identified reporting units to determine the carrying value of the reporting units. If the carrying value of the reporting unit is in excess of its fair value, an impairment may exist, and the Company must perform the second step of the analysis, in which the implied fair value of the goodwill is compared to its carrying value to determine the impairment charge, if any.

The fair value of the reporting unit is determined using the market approach. Under the market approach, the Company estimates the fair value of each reporting unit based on the Company's closing stock price on the trading day closest to the annual review date multiplied by the outstanding shares on that date. If the estimated fair value of the reporting unit exceeds the carrying value of the net assets assigned to that unit, goodwill is not impaired and no further analysis is required. Through March 31, 2012, there have been no such impairment losses. Purchased intangible assets other than goodwill, including developed technology, in-process research and development, backlog and distributor license, are amortized on a straight-line basis over their estimated useful lives unless their lives are determined to be indefinite. Purchased intangible assets are carried at cost, less accumulated amortization. Amortization is computed over the estimated useful lives of the respective assets which range from approximately one to six years.

Business Combinations

In fiscal 2011, the Company accounted for the acquisition of TomoTherapy using the acquisition method of accounting. The underlying principles of this method require that the Company recognize separately from goodwill the assets acquired and the liabilities assumed, generally at their acquisition date fair values. Goodwill as of the acquisition date is measured as the excess of consideration transferred and the net of the acquisition date fair values of the assets acquired and the liabilities assumed. While the Company uses its best estimates and assumptions as a part of the purchase price allocation process to accurately value assets acquired and liabilities assumed at the acquisition date, its estimates are inherently uncertain and subject to refinement. As a result, during the measurement period, which may be up to one year from the acquisition date, the Company may record adjustments to the assets acquired and liabilities assumed, with the corresponding offset to goodwill. Upon the conclusion of the measurement period or final determination of the values of assets acquired or liabilities assumed, whichever comes first, subsequent adjustments, if any, are recorded to the Company's consolidated statements of operations. Transaction costs and costs to restructure the acquired company are expensed as incurred. The operating results of the acquired company are reflected in the Company's condensed consolidated financial statements after the date of the merger or acquisition.

Share-Based Compensation

The Company accounts for share-based compensation by measuring and recognizing the fair value of all share-based payment awards made to employees based on the estimated grant date fair values, including employee stock options, restricted stock units ("RSUs"), restricted stock awards ("RSAs"), performance stock units ("PSUs") and the employee stock purchase plan ("ESPP"). The determination of fair value involves a number of significant estimates. The Company uses the Black-Scholes model to estimate the value of employee stock options and ESPP, which requires a number of assumptions to determine the model inputs. These include the expected volatility of the Company's stock, the expected term of the option, the expected risk free rate of interest and dividend yields. As share-based compensation expense is based on awards ultimately expected to vest, the expense is recorded net of estimated forfeitures. Forfeitures are estimated at the time of grant and revised, if necessary, in subsequent periods if actual forfeitures differ from those estimates. As the Company has been operating as a public company for a period of time that is shorter than its estimated expected option term, the Company concluded that its historical price volatility does not provide a reasonable basis for the expected volatility input assumption within its Black-Scholes model when determining the fair value of its stock options. Expected volatility was based on the historical volatility of a peer group of publicly traded companies. The Company continues to use the "simplified" method for the estimated term of the awards. Management's estimate of forfeitures is based on historical experience, but actual forfeitures could differ materially as a result of voluntary employee terminations which could result in a significant change in future share-based compensation expense. See "Note 9, Share-Based Compensation" for additional information.

Income and Other Taxes

The Company is required to estimate its income taxes in each of the tax jurisdictions in which it operates prior to the completion and filing of tax returns for such periods. This process involves estimating actual current tax expense together with assessing temporary differences in the treatment of items for tax purposes versus financial accounting purposes that may create net deferred tax assets and liabilities. The Company accounts for income taxes under the asset and liability method, which requires, among other things, that deferred income taxes be provided for temporary differences between the tax bases of the Company's assets and liabilities and their financial statement reported amounts. In addition, deferred tax assets are recorded for the future benefit of utilizing net operating losses, research and development credit carryforwards, and other deferred tax assets.

The Company records a valuation allowance to reduce its deferred tax assets to the amount the Company believes is more likely than not to be realized. Because of the uncertainty of the realization of the deferred tax assets, the Company has recorded a full valuation allowance against its domestic and certain foreign net deferred tax assets.

The calculation of unrecognized tax benefits involves dealing with uncertainties in the application of complex global tax regulations. Management regularly assesses the Company's tax positions in light of legislative, bilateral tax treaty, regulatory and judicial developments in the countries in which the Company does business. As of March 31, 2012, the amount of gross unrecognized tax benefits was \$14.8 million, all of which would affect the Company's effective tax rate if realized. The Company recognizes interest income and interest expense and penalties on tax overpayments and underpayments within income tax expense. As of March 31, 2012, the Company had accrued a net \$0.6 million payable for interest and penalties. The Company anticipates that except for \$0.2 million in uncertain tax positions that may be reduced related to the lapse of various statutes of limitation, there will be no material changes in uncertain tax positions in the next 12 months.

Net Loss Per Common Share

Basic and diluted net loss per share is computed by dividing net loss attributable to stockholders by the weighted-average number of common shares outstanding during the period. The potential dilutive shares of the Company's common stock resulting from the assumed exercise of outstanding stock options, the vesting of RSUs and PSUs, and the purchase of ESPP shares, as determined under the treasury stock method, are included in the computation of diluted net loss per share if their effect would have been dilutive.

A reconciliation of the numerator and denominator used in the calculation of basic and diluted net loss per share follows (in thousands):

	Three Months Ended March 31,		Nine Months Ended March 31,	
	2012	2011	2012	2011
Numerator:				
Net loss used in computing basic and diluted net loss per share attributable to stockholders	\$ 14,881	\$ 1,160	\$ 51,778	\$ 1,702
Denominator:				
Weighted-average shares used in computing basic net loss per share attributable to stockholders	71,120	59,960	70,692	59,298
Add: dilutive stock options and awards outstanding	—	—	—	—
Weighted-average shares used in computing diluted net loss per share attributable to stockholders	71,120	59,960	70,692	59,298

The following table sets forth all potentially dilutive securities excluded from the computation in the table above because their effect would have been anti-dilutive (in thousands):

	As of March 31,	
	2012	2011
Options to purchase common stock	7,925	6,876
Restricted stock units	1,150	676
Performance stock units	947	—
	10,022	7,552

The Convertible Notes are included in the calculation of diluted net income per share if their inclusion is dilutive under the if-converted method. For the three and nine months ended March 31, 2012, the potential dilutive shares under the Convertible Notes were excluded from the calculation of diluted net loss per share as their inclusion would be anti-dilutive.

[Table of Contents](#)

Segment Information

The Company has determined that it operates in only one segment, as it only reports profit and loss information on an aggregate basis to its chief operating decision maker. The Company's long-lived assets maintained outside the United States are not material. Revenue by geographic region is based on the shipping addresses of the Company's customers. The following summarizes revenue by geographic region (in thousands):

	Three Months Ended March 31,		Nine Months Ended March 31,	
	2012	2011	2012	2011
Americas (including Puerto Rico)	\$ 46,655	\$ 28,010	\$ 149,766	\$ 89,971
Europe	25,825	18,395	79,770	38,920
Asia (excluding Japan)	17,059	3,485	52,931	10,506
Japan	12,277	4,857	26,223	7,664
Total	\$ 101,816	\$ 54,747	\$ 308,690	\$ 147,061

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In September 2011, the FASB issued ASU 2011-08, *Intangibles—Goodwill and Other (Topic 350): Testing Goodwill for Impairment*, applicable for annual and interim goodwill impairment tests performed for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2011. The guidance allows an entity the option to make a qualitative evaluation about the likelihood of goodwill impairment for a reporting unit. If, after assessing the totality of events or circumstances, an entity determines it is not more likely than not that the fair value of a reporting unit is less than its carrying amount, then performing the quantitative two-step impairment test is unnecessary. Early adoption is permitted for annual and interim goodwill impairment tests if an entity's financial statements for the most recent interim period have not yet been issued. The Company does not expect that adoption of this guidance will have a material impact on the Company's condensed consolidated financial position, results of operations and cash flows.

In June 2011, the FASB issued ASU 2011-05, *Comprehensive Income (Topic 220)—Presentation of Comprehensive Income*, to require an entity to present the total of comprehensive income, the components of net income, and the components of other comprehensive income either in a single continuous statement of comprehensive income or in two separate but consecutive statements. ASU 2011-05 eliminates the option to present the components of other comprehensive income as part of the statement of equity. The FASB issued ASU 2011-12 in December 2011 to defer certain presentation requirements of the new guidance. ASU 2011-05 is effective for the Company in the first quarter of fiscal year 2013 and will be applied retrospectively. The adoption of these standards will only result in changes in the financial statement presentation.

3. Alliance Agreement

In June 2010, the Company entered into a Strategic Alliance Agreement (the "Alliance Agreement") with Siemens AG ("Siemens"), pursuant to which (1) the Company granted Siemens certain distribution rights to our CyberKnife Systems, (2) Siemens agreed to incorporate certain Accuray technology into certain of its linear accelerator ("linac") products, and (3) the Company created a research and development relationship with Siemens for the pursuit and

implementation of other potential collaboration opportunities. Siemens terminated the Alliance Agreement effective December 23, 2011, thereby terminating the elements described in clauses (2) and (3) above. On December 26, 2011, Siemens and the Company entered into a distribution agreement pursuant to which Siemens has the right to distribute both CyberKnife and TomoTherapy Systems. The term of this agreement ends in March 2013. Sales to date under the Siemens Alliance Agreement and subsequent distribution agreement with Accuray have not been material.

In April 2012, Siemens and Varian Medical Systems, Inc. ("Varian") announced that they had entered into a strategic global partnership involving mutual marketing and representation of products for imaging and treatment in the global radiation oncology business, the development of software interfaces between Siemens and Varian treatment systems and potential joint development of new products. Given this announcement, the Company anticipates that Siemens will distribute few, if any, CyberKnife or TomoTherapy Systems during the remaining term of its distribution agreement.

4. Comprehensive Loss

The components of total comprehensive loss were as follows (in thousands):

	Three Months Ended March 31,		Nine Months Ended March 31,	
	2012	2011	2012	2011
Net loss attributable to stockholders	\$ (14,881)	\$ (1,160)	\$ (51,778)	\$ (1,702)
Unrealized loss on investments	—	(25)	—	(22)
Foreign currency translation adjustments	(617)	135	1,750	178
Total comprehensive loss	\$ (15,498)	\$ (1,050)	\$ (50,028)	\$ (1,546)

12

[Table of Contents](#)

Accumulated other comprehensive income at March 31, 2012 and June 30, 2011 consisted of accumulated foreign currency translation adjustments. No component of accumulated other comprehensive income at March 31, 2012 was attributable to CPAC.

5. Balance Sheet Components

Accounts receivable, net

Accounts receivable, net consisted of the following (in thousands):

	March 31, 2012	June 30, 2011
Accounts receivable	\$ 72,987	\$ 59,858
Unbilled fees and services	799	2,319
	73,786	62,177
Less: Allowance for doubtful accounts	(1,305)	(324)
Accounts receivable, net	\$ 72,481	\$ 61,853

Financing receivables

A financing receivable is a contractual right to receive money, on demand or on fixed or determinable dates, that is recognized as an asset in the creditor's balance sheet. The Company's financing receivables, consisting of its accounts receivable with contractual maturities of more than one year, was \$2.7 million as at March 31, 2012 and are included under Other Assets in the condensed consolidated balance sheet. There was no balance or activity in the allowance for doubtful financing receivable accounts during the three and nine months ended March 31, 2012.

Inventories

Inventories consisted of the following (in thousands):

	March 31, 2012	June 30, 2011
Raw materials	\$ 57,426	\$ 60,309
Work-in-process	10,012	10,002
Finished goods	17,684	27,525
Inventories	\$ 85,122	\$ 97,836

Property and equipment, net

Property and equipment consisted of the following (in thousands):

	March 31, 2012	June 30, 2011
Furniture and fixtures	\$ 5,856	\$ 5,317
Computer and office equipment	8,873	8,280
Software	8,785	8,107
Leasehold improvements	15,888	15,386
Machinery and equipment	34,618	33,692
Shared ownership systems	4,957	4,923
Construction in progress	2,334	602
	81,311	76,307
Less: Accumulated depreciation and amortization	(41,643)	(31,484)

Depreciation and amortization expense related to property and equipment for the three and nine months ended March 31, 2012 was \$4.0 million and \$12.3 million, respectively. Depreciation and amortization expense related to property and equipment for the three and nine months ended March 31, 2011 was \$1.5 million and \$4.3 million, respectively. Accumulated depreciation related to the systems under the shared ownership program as of March 31, 2012 and June 30, 2011 was \$1.1 million and \$2.1 million, respectively.

[Table of Contents](#)

6. Goodwill and Intangible Assets

Goodwill

Activity related to goodwill consisted of the following (in thousands):

	Nine Months Ended March 31, 2012	Year Ended June 30, 2011
Balance at the beginning of the period	\$ 54,474	\$ 4,495
Addition related to acquisition	—	49,979
Adjustments related to prior year acquisition (1)	1,706	—
Balance at the end of the period	<u>\$ 56,180</u>	<u>\$ 54,474</u>

(1) Primarily represents an additional liability incurred as part of the TomoTherapy acquisition.

Intangible Assets

The Company's intangible assets associated with completed acquisitions at March 31, 2012 and June 30, 2011 are as follows (in thousands):

	Useful Lives (in years)	March 31, 2012			June 30, 2011		
		Gross Carrying Amount	Accumulated Amortization	Net Amount	Gross Carrying Amount	Accumulated Amortization	Net Amount
Developed technology	6	\$ 43,455	\$ (7,420)	\$ 36,035	\$ 43,455	\$ (2,069)	\$ 41,386
Backlog	1.25	10,500	(6,767)	3,733	10,500	(467)	10,033
Distributor license	2.5	1,860	(586)	1,274	1,860	(40)	1,820
In-process research and development (CPAC)	Indefinite	12,800	—	12,800	12,800	—	12,800
		<u>\$ 68,615</u>	<u>\$ (14,773)</u>	<u>\$ 53,842</u>	<u>\$ 68,615</u>	<u>\$ (2,576)</u>	<u>\$ 66,039</u>

Amortization expense related to intangible assets was \$4.0 million and \$0.1 million for the three months ended March 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively and \$12.2 million and \$0.2 million for the nine months ended March 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

The estimated future amortization expense of purchased intangible assets, excluding in-process research and development, as of March 31, 2012 is as follows (in thousands):

Year Ending June 30,	Amount
2012 (remaining three months)	\$ 4,023
2013	9,306
2014	7,298
2015	6,933
2016	6,933
Thereafter	6,549
	<u>\$ 41,042</u>

7. Contingencies

Litigation

From time to time, the Company is involved in legal proceedings arising in the ordinary course of its business. Currently, management believes the Company does not have any probable and estimable loss related to any current legal proceedings and claims that would individually or in the aggregate materially adversely affect its financial condition or operating results. Litigation is inherently unpredictable and is subject to significant uncertainties, some of which are beyond the Company's control. Should any of these estimates and assumptions change or prove to have been incorrect, the Company could incur significant charges related to legal matters which could have a material impact on its results of operations, financial position and cash flows.

Accuray Securities Litigation

On July 22, 2009, a securities class action lawsuit was filed in the U.S. District Court for the Northern District of California against the Company and certain of its current and former directors and officers. On August 7, 2009 and August 9, 2009, two securities class action complaints, both similar to the one filed on July 22, 2009, were filed against the same defendants in the same court. These three actions were consolidated. The consolidated complaint generally alleged that the Company and the individual defendants made false or misleading public statements regarding its operations and sought unspecified monetary damages and other relief. On August 31, 2010, the Court granted defendants' motion to dismiss the consolidated complaint and granted plaintiffs leave to file an amended complaint. On September 27, 2010, plaintiffs filed an amended complaint. The amended complaint named the Company and certain of its current and former officers and directors as defendants and generally alleged that the defendants made false or misleading public statements regarding its operations. The amended complaint sought unspecified monetary damages and other relief. Defendants filed a motion to dismiss the amended complaint. On April 28, 2011, the parties filed a stipulation of settlement with the court, providing for the settlement of the litigation for a payment of \$13.5 million which was covered by insurance. The court preliminarily approved the settlement on June 10, 2011. A hearing on the terms of the settlement was held on September 1, 2011. On December 8, 2011 the Court issued its final judgment and order of dismissal with prejudice.

Litigation relating to the TomoTherapy Acquisition

On March 11, 2011, a purported class action complaint was filed in the Circuit Court for the State of Wisconsin, Dane County, on behalf of a putative class of TomoTherapy shareholders and naming as defendants TomoTherapy and TomoTherapy's board of directors (prior to the acquisition of TomoTherapy by the Company). Thereafter, four additional complaints were filed in the same court on behalf of the same class and against the same defendants, and two such complaints also named the Company and Jaguar Acquisition, Inc., a wholly-owned subsidiary of the Company ("Merger Sub"). On April 4, 2011, all five actions were consolidated. The complaints generally alleged that, in connection with the Company's then proposed merger transaction with TomoTherapy, TomoTherapy's board breached their fiduciary duties by, among other things, failing to maximize the value of TomoTherapy to its shareholders and purportedly agreeing to certain terms in the merger agreement, which were allegedly preclusive and onerous. The complaints further alleged that the Company and Merger Sub aided and abetted TomoTherapy's board of directors in their alleged breaches of fiduciary duties. The plaintiffs sought, among other things, an injunction barring consummation of the merger, rescission or recessionary damages, costs and attorney's fees. The Company and Merger Sub were dismissed from the litigation without prejudice on April 19, 2011. The consolidated complaint against TomoTherapy and the former directors of TomoTherapy was dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party on July 5, 2011.

Best Medical Trade Secret Litigation

On September 3, 2009, Best Medical International, Inc. ("Best Medical") filed a lawsuit against the Company in the U.S. District Court for the Western District of Pennsylvania, claiming the Company induced certain individuals to leave the employment of Best Medical and join the Company in order to gain access to Best Medical's confidential information and trade secrets. Best Medical is seeking monetary damages and other relief. The Company filed a motion for summary judgment on May 20, 2011, Best Medical filed its response on June 21, 2011, and the Company filed a response to their response on July 8, 2011. On October 25, 2011, the court granted summary judgment in favor of the Company on all counts. On November 21, 2011 Best Medical filed a notice of appeal, and the parties await a ruling by the appellate court.

Best Medical Patent Litigation

On August 6, 2010, Best Medical filed an additional lawsuit against the Company in the U.S. District Court for the Western District of Pennsylvania, claiming it has infringed U.S. Patent No. 5,596,619 a patent that Best Medical alleges protects a method and apparatus for conformal radiation therapy. On December 2, 2010, the Court granted the Company's motion to dismiss, with leave to amend. On December 16, 2010, Best Medical filed an amended complaint, claiming that the Company also infringed U.S. Patent Nos. 6,038,283 and 7,266,175, both of which Best Medical alleges cover methods and apparatus for conformal radiation therapy. On March 9, 2011, the Court dismissed with prejudice all counts against the Company, except for two counts of alleged willful infringement of two of the patents. The Court issued a Scheduling Order on May 12, 2011 appointing a special master for claim construction, and setting a claim construction hearing on January 10, 2012. Best Medical moved to voluntarily dismiss one of the two remaining patent claims on June 28, 2011, which the court granted on June 30, 2011, leaving only one patent (U.S. Patent No. 6,038,283) at issue in the case. On September 1, 2011, the Court modified its Scheduling Order, setting a claim construction hearing on January 24-25, 2012. On January 4, 2012, the Court again modified its Scheduling Order, changing the claim construction hearing to May 16-17, 2012. Best Medical is seeking declaratory and injunctive relief, as well as unspecified compensatory and treble damages and other relief. At this time, the Company does not have enough information to estimate what, if any, financial impact this claim will have.

TomoTherapy Former Distributor in Japan

On July 17, 2009, Hi-Art Co., Ltd. (Hi-Art), TomoTherapy's former distributor in Japan, filed a complaint against TomoTherapy in the Tokyo District Court seeking compensation it claimed was owed by TomoTherapy. The Company and Hi-Art entered into a settlement agreement pursuant to which the Company agreed to pay 190,000,000 yen (or approximately \$2.3 million) and Hi-Art dropped all claims against TomoTherapy and the Company. On July 26, 2011, the Court approved the settlement and issued a decree dismissing the case. The settlement amount was paid during the fiscal quarter ended September 30, 2011.

[Table of Contents](#)

Rotary Systems

On April 28, 2011, a former supplier to TomoTherapy, Rotary Systems Incorporated, filed suit in Minnesota state court, Tenth Judicial District, Anoka County, against TomoTherapy alleging misappropriation of trade secrets, as well as several other counts alleging various theories of injury. Rotary Systems alleges TomoTherapy misappropriated Rotary Systems' trade secrets pertaining to a component previously purchased from Rotary Systems, which component TomoTherapy now purchases from a different supplier. The suit alleges TomoTherapy improperly supplied the alleged trade secrets to its present supplier, Dynamic Sealing Technologies Inc. (also a named defendant in the suit). Rotary Systems has made a claim for unspecified damages of greater than \$50,000. TomoTherapy moved to dismiss the case in June 2011, and on August 29, 2011, the court granted the motion to dismiss with respect to all counts other than the count alleging misappropriation of trade secrets. At this time, the Company does not have enough information to estimate what, if any, financial impact this claim will have.

Radiation Stabilization Solutions Patent Litigation

On September 15, 2011, Radiation Stabilization Solutions LLC (“Radiation Stabilization Solutions”) filed a patent infringement complaint in the United States District Court for the Northern District of Illinois, Eastern Division. The complaint, alleged the Company’s sale of our TomoHD product induces infringement of or contributorily infringes U.S. Patent No. 6,118,848, or the ‘848 Patent, and sought unspecified monetary damages for the alleged infringement. The complaint also named Varian Medical Systems, Inc., BrainLab AG, BrainLab, Inc., Elekta AB and Elekta, Inc. as defendants, alleging that certain of their products also infringe the ‘848 patent. On October 27, 2011, the Court dismissed the complaint without prejudice because non-resident defendants had been improperly named in the complaint.

On October 28, 2011, Radiation Stabilization Solutions filed a new complaint against the Company and a customer of the Company in the United States District Court for the Northern District of Illinois, Eastern Division. The new complaint repeats the original complaint’s allegations against the Company, and seeks unspecified monetary damages for the alleged infringement. The complaint further alleges that the customer directly and indirectly infringes the ‘848 patent, and seeks unspecified monetary damages for the alleged infringement. Radiation Stabilization Solutions also filed individual suits against each of Varian and Elekta and several of their respective customers. Radiation Stabilization Solutions served the complaint on Accuray and its customer on December 7, 2011. At this time, the Company does not have enough information to estimate what, if any, financial impact this claim will have.

Software License Indemnity

Under the terms of the Company’s software license agreements with its customers, the Company agrees that in the event the software sold infringes upon any patent, copyright, trademark, or any other proprietary right of a third party, it will indemnify its customer licensees against any loss, expense, or liability from any damages that may be awarded against its customer. The Company includes this infringement indemnification in all of its software license agreements and selected managed services arrangements. In the event the customer cannot use the software or service due to infringement and the Company cannot obtain the right to use, replace or modify the license or service in a commercially feasible manner so that it no longer infringes, then the Company may terminate the license and provide the customer a refund of the fees paid by the customer for the infringing license or service. The Company has not recorded any liability associated with this indemnification, as it is not aware of any pending or threatened actions that represent probable losses as of March 31, 2012.

8. Acquisition

On June 10, 2011, the Company completed the acquisition of TomoTherapy by acquiring all of TomoTherapy’s common stock in exchange for cash and shares of Accuray common stock. TomoTherapy is a creator of advanced radiation therapy solutions for cancer care. The objective of the acquisition is to create a company that can provide patients with radiation treatments tailored to their specific needs, from high-precision radiosurgery to image-guided, intensity-modulated radiation therapy. The Company has included the financial results of TomoTherapy in its condensed consolidated financial statements from the date of acquisition.

The total purchase price for TomoTherapy was approximately \$248.0 million and was comprised of the following (in thousands):

Cash	\$	174,178
Common stock issued (9,112,511 shares)		67,341
Stock options assumed (1,539,255 shares)		2,234
Restricted stock awards assumed (429,591 shares)		4,270
	\$	<u>248,023</u>

[Table of Contents](#)

The unaudited pro forma results presented below include the effects of pro forma adjustments as if TomoTherapy was acquired on July 1, 2009. The nonrecurring pro forma adjustments are primarily the result of fair value adjustments to intangible assets, inventory, fixed assets and deferred revenue. The pro forma financial results do not include any anticipated synergies or other expected benefits of the acquisition. The table below is presented for informational purposes only and is not indicative of future operations or results that would have been achieved had the acquisition been completed as of July 1, 2009 (in thousands, except per share amounts).

	Three Months Ended March 31, 2011		Nine Months Ended March 31, 2011
		(unaudited)	
Net revenue	\$ 101,951	\$	298,152
Net loss attributable to stockholders	\$ (10,249)	\$	(36,671)
Diluted loss per share	\$ (0.15)	\$	(0.54)

9. Share-Based Compensation

The following table summarizes the share-based compensation charges included in the Company’s condensed consolidated statements of operations (in thousands):

	Three Months Ended March 31,		Nine Months Ended March 31,	
	2012	2011	2012	2011
Cost of revenue	\$ 276	\$ 242	\$ 1,271	\$ 886
Selling and marketing	165	155	545	512
Research and development	504	499	1,673	1,793
General and administrative	800	1,048	2,812	3,204
	<u>\$ 1,745</u>	<u>\$ 1,944</u>	<u>\$ 6,301</u>	<u>\$ 6,395</u>

At March 31, 2012 and June 30, 2011, capitalized share-based compensation expenses of \$0.4 million and \$0.3 million, respectively, were included as components of inventories.

Performance-Based Awards

During fiscal 2012, the Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors of the Company approved the granting of Performance-Based Stock Units (“PSUs”) to employees of the Company which vest only upon meeting certain financial performance criteria during the performance period commencing on the first day of the Company’s 2012 fiscal year and ending on the last day of the Company’s 2013 fiscal year. If the PSUs do not become vested as a result of the Company’s performance during the performance period, all PSUs are automatically forfeited by the participants effective as of the last day of the performance period. During the nine months ended March 31, 2012, approximately 1.0 million PSUs have been granted to employees valued at approximately \$3.9 million which was based on the fair value of the Company’s common stock on the grant date and will be recognized over the requisite performance period based on management’s assessment of the probability of achieving the performance criteria. As of March 31, 2012, management assessed that it was not probable that the performance criteria would be met during the performance period and accordingly, no compensation cost has been recognized for the PSUs during the three and nine months ended March 31, 2012. If in a future period management revises its assessment and concludes that it is probable that the performance criteria will be met, the Company will record a cumulative catch up compensation charge for the PSUs in that period. Remaining compensation charges would be recognized ratably over the remaining performance period.

10. Debt

On August 1, 2011, the Company issued \$100 million aggregate principal amount of 3.75% Convertible Senior Notes due August 1, 2016 (the “Notes”) to certain qualified institutional buyers or QIBs. The Notes were offered and sold to the QIBs pursuant to Rule 144A under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended. The net proceeds from the offering, after deducting the initial purchaser’s discount and commission and the related offering costs, were approximately \$96.1 million. The offering costs and the initial purchaser’s discount and commission (which are recorded in Other Assets) are both being amortized to interest expense using the effective interest method over five years. The Notes bear interest at a rate of 3.75% per year, payable semi-annually in arrears in cash on February 1 and August 1 of each year, beginning on February 1, 2012. The Notes will mature on August 1, 2016, unless earlier repurchased, redeemed or converted.

The Notes were issued under an Indenture between the Company and The Bank of New York Mellon Trust Company, N.A., as trustee. The Notes are convertible, as described below, at the Company’s election, into common stock of the Company, cash or a combination thereof at an initial conversion rate equal to 105.5548 shares of common stock per \$1,000 principal amount of the Notes, which is equivalent to a conversion price of approximately \$9.47 per share of common stock, subject to adjustment. Holders of the Notes may convert their Notes at any time on or after May 1, 2016 until the close of business on the business day immediately preceding the maturity date. Prior to May 1, 2016,

[Table of Contents](#)

holders of the Notes may convert their Notes only under the following circumstances: (1) during any calendar quarter after the calendar quarter ending September 30, 2011, and only during such calendar quarter, if the closing sale price of the Company’s common stock for each of 20 or more trading days in the 30 consecutive trading days ending on the last trading day of the immediately preceding calendar quarter exceeds 130% of the conversion price in effect on the last trading day of the immediately preceding calendar quarter; (2) during the five consecutive business days immediately after any five consecutive trading-day period (such five consecutive trading-day period, the “Note Measurement Period”) in which the trading price per \$1,000 principal amount of Notes for each trading day of that Note Measurement Period was equal to or less than 98% of the product of the closing sale price of shares of the Company’s common stock and the applicable conversion rate for such trading day; (3) if the Company calls any or all of the Notes for redemption, at any time prior to the close of business on the business day immediately preceding the redemption date; or (4) upon the occurrence of specified corporate transactions as described in the Indenture.

Holders of the Notes who convert their Notes in connection with a “make-whole fundamental change”, as defined in the Indenture, may be entitled to a make-whole premium in the form of an increase in the conversion rate. Additionally, in the event of a fundamental change, as defined in the Indenture, holders of the Notes may require the Company to purchase all or a portion of their Notes at a fundamental change repurchase price equal to 100% of the principal amount of Notes, plus accrued and unpaid interest, if any, to, but not including, the fundamental change repurchase date.

On or after August 1, 2014 and prior to the maturity date, the Company may redeem for cash all or a portion of the Notes if the closing sale price of its common stock exceeds 130% of the applicable conversion price (the initial conversion price is approximately \$9.47 per share of common stock) of such Notes for at least 20 trading days during any consecutive 30 trading-day period (including the last trading day of such period).

In accordance with ASC 470-20 *Debt with Conversion and Other Options*, the Company separately accounts for the liability and equity conversion components of the Notes. The principal amount of the liability component of the Notes was \$75.9 million as of date of issuance based on the present value of its cash flows using a discount rate of 10%, our approximate borrowing rate at the date of the issuance for a similar debt instrument without the conversion feature. The carrying value of the equity conversion component was \$24.1 million. A portion of the initial purchaser’s discount and commission and the offering costs totaling \$0.9 million was allocated to the equity conversion component. The liability component will be accreted to the principal amount of the Notes using the effective interest method over five years.

The following table presents the carrying value of the Notes as of March 31, 2012 (in thousands):

	<u>At March 31, 2012</u>	
Carrying amount of the equity conversion component	\$	23,189
Principal amount of the Notes	\$	100,000
Unamortized debt discount (1)		(21,540)
Net carrying amount	\$	78,460

(1) As of March 31, 2012, the remaining period over which the unamortized debt discount will be amortized is 52 months.

A summary of interest expense and effective interest rate on the liability component related to the Notes for the three and nine months ended March 31, 2012 was as follows (in thousands):

	<u>Three months ended March 31, 2012</u>	<u>Nine months ended March 31, 2012</u>
Effective interest rate	10.0%	10.0%
Interest expense related to contractual interest coupon	\$ 937	\$ 2,500
Interest expense related to amortization of debt discount	992	2,590
Total interest expense recognized	<u>\$ 1,929</u>	<u>\$ 5,090</u>

[Table of Contents](#)

11. Investment in CPAC

During April 2008, TomoTherapy established a new affiliate, CPAC, to develop a compact proton therapy system for the treatment of cancer. CPAC's investors include TomoTherapy, private investors and potential customers.

TomoTherapy contributed intellectual property with a fair market value of approximately \$1.9 million as its investment in CPAC. CPAC has raised additional capital from other investors of \$22.7 million since 2008 through the sale of stock. As of March 31, 2012, the Company's ownership interest in CPAC was 5.5%. Although TomoTherapy's ownership in CPAC is less than 50%, the Company includes CPAC in its condensed consolidated financial statements because TomoTherapy is the primary beneficiary of CPAC due to its overall control of CPAC's activities and TomoTherapy's option to purchase a portion of the CPAC stock held by other CPAC investors. CPAC's outside stockholders' interests are shown in the Company's condensed consolidated financial statements as "Noncontrolling interest."

In December, 2010, September 2011 and October 2011, the Company (including its wholly owned subsidiary, TomoTherapy) and certain other CPAC investors purchased convertible promissory notes from CPAC. Total consideration for the notes Accuray and TomoTherapy purchased was \$1.2 million. Under the terms of the December 2010 notes, TomoTherapy received warrants for 1,386,981 common shares of CPAC. Other participating investors also purchased \$1.2 million of the convertible notes which are included in "other accrued liabilities" in the Company's condensed consolidated balance sheets. The other investors also received warrants under the terms of the December 2010 notes for an aggregate of 1,386,983 shares of CPAC. The notes bear interest at 12% and are convertible based on a per share conversion price as defined in the notes. The CPAC warrants are exercisable through November 2020 at an exercise price of \$0.57 per CPAC common share. At March 31, 2012, no notes had been converted and no warrants had been exercised.

On March 9, 2011, TomoTherapy entered into a revolving promissory note with CPAC. On May 10, 2011, the revolving note was amended to increase the maximum amount available to borrow to \$1.9 million. As of March 31, 2012, \$1.9 million was outstanding under the revolving note. The revolving note bears interest at 12% per annum compounded quarterly. The revolving note expired and all amounts became due on December 31, 2011. The Company has not waived its rights to repayment of the revolving note.

In addition to the relationships described above, TomoTherapy also has a contractual agreement to provide certain accounting and back office support and management services to CPAC. Also, Accuray may provide additional financial support to CPAC in the future. Settlements of CPAC's obligations are restricted to the assets of CPAC, and creditors and beneficial interest holders of CPAC have no contractual recourse to the Company.

See Note 13 for further discussion on subsequent events related to CPAC.

12. Restructuring Charges

In the second quarter of fiscal 2012, the Company implemented a Workforce Re-alignment Program ("WFA") which affects approximately 51 full-time positions across the organization. The WFA was designed to position the workforce more appropriately for the Company's growth strategy and to help achieve cost synergies associated with the acquisition of TomoTherapy during fiscal 2011. The Company estimates the total restructuring-related charges associated with the WFA to be approximately \$1.9 million in cash related to employee severance pay and related expenses. During the three and nine months ended March 31, 2012, the Company reduced its global workforce under this program by 7 and 41 full-time employees, respectively, and recorded \$0.3 million and \$1.8 million in charges for severance and related benefits, respectively, of which \$1.4 million had been paid as of March 31, 2012. The activities comprising this WFA will be substantially completed by the end of the fourth quarter of fiscal 2012. Restructuring charges are reflected within the respective operating expenses in the condensed consolidated statements of operations.

13. Subsequent Events

Agreements with CPAC

On April 20, 2012, the Company entered into various transactions with CPAC and its other stockholders pursuant to which the Company invested \$1.1 million and agreed to invest up to an additional \$0.7 million in exchange for preferred stock of CPAC and warrants to purchase common stock. The Company also converted the outstanding principal and accrued interest on its convertible promissory notes, amounting to \$1.3 million, into preferred stock. Certain other investors also participated in the financing. Following the initial closing, the Company owns approximately 14.1% of the outstanding stock of CPAC and approximately 16.3% on a fully diluted basis. Following the completion of CPAC's on-going preferred stock financing, the Company anticipates that it will own between 16.5% and 17.9% of the outstanding stock of CPAC and between 18.2% and 19.0% on a fully diluted basis.

The maturity date for the \$1.9 million principal amount of revolving promissory note was extended from December 31, 2011 to December 31, 2012. The Company can convert all or any part of the revolving note into preferred stock of CPAC at any time until September 30, 2012. If the second closing of the preferred stock financing occurs (which requires a minimum specified investment amount from third parties), then the Company will be obligated to convert the revolving note to the extent such conversion will not result in the Company owning more than 19.0% of CPAC. If any portion of the revolving note remains outstanding following the second closing, the note will be amended to change the maturity date to December 31, 2015 and to decrease the interest rate from 12% per annum to 8% per annum compounded quarterly.

In connection with the transactions, the Company terminated the option it previously held, to purchase a portion of the CPAC stock held by other CPAC investors in exchange for the right to commercialize the technology in the medical field. The Company now has the option, upon the occurrence of certain events, to elect to either acquire CPAC at the then-determined fair value or enter into a non-exclusive supply and distribution agreement for CPAC's compact proton therapy products. The triggers for the option becoming exercisable include CPAC achieving certain technical milestones or the CPAC board approving a proposal for the acquisition of CPAC.

[Table of Contents](#)

The Company and CPAC also agreed to amend and restate certain licensing relationships for the DWA technology by and between the Company, CPAC and Lawrence Livermore National Security, LLC ("LLNS") as well as terminate by June 30, 2012, the arrangement pursuant to which the Company provides certain accounting and back office support and management services to CPAC.

The Company believes that following the transactions described above, it will continue to consolidate CPAC in its financial statements since it will continue to be the primary beneficiary of CPAC (a variable interest entity).

Data Breach

On May 1, 2012, the Company experienced a data security breach wherein confidential employee information was mistakenly sent to all employees of one of the Company's campuses. The Company has taken remedial measures such as notifying the affected parties, minimizing the distribution of the data, providing credit monitoring to the affected parties, and implementing additional control procedures and training in order to prevent such data breaches from occurring in the future.

[Table of Contents](#)

Item 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

The following discussion and analysis of our financial condition as of March 31, 2012 and results of operations for the three and nine months ended March 31, 2012 and 2011 should be read together with our condensed consolidated financial statements and related notes included elsewhere in this report. Statements made in this Form 10-Q report that are not statements of historical fact are forward-looking statements and are subject to the "safe harbor" provisions of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. Forward-looking statements in this report relate, but are not limited, to: expectations related to profitability and cash flows in fiscal 2013; sufficiency of cash resources and expected cash flows to fund future operations; expected uses of cash during the remainder of fiscal 2012; the anticipated drivers of our future capital requirements; the impact of our recent sales reorganization on sales performance, particularly in the United States; anticipated increases in service revenue; the ongoing impact of purchase accounting adjustments; our expectations regarding the factors that will impact sales, competitive positioning and long-term success for our CyberKnife and TomoTherapy Systems; the anticipated risks associated with our foreign operations; our anticipated implementation of additional ERP capabilities; our expected ownership of CPAC following its ongoing financing; and the impact of our efforts to address data breaches. Forward-looking statements are subject to risks and uncertainties that could cause actual results to differ materially from expectations, including risks detailed from time to time under the heading "Risk Factors" in Part II, Section 1A of this report, in the Company's report on Form 10-K for fiscal year 2011, and its reports on Form 10-Q for the first and second quarters of fiscal 2012. Forward-looking statements speak only as of the date the statements are made and are based on information available to the Company at the time those statements are made and/or management's good faith belief as of that time with respect to future events. The Company assumes no obligation to update forward-looking statements to reflect actual performance or results, changes in assumptions or changes in other factors affecting forward-looking information, except to the extent required by applicable securities laws. Accordingly, investors should not place undue reliance on any forward-looking statements.

In this report, "Accuray," the "Company," "we," "us," and "our" refer to Accuray Incorporated and its subsidiaries.

Overview

Products and Markets

We believe we are the premier radiation oncology company based on our history of rapid innovation and our leading edge technologies designed specifically to deliver radiosurgery, stereotactic body radiation therapy, intensity modulated radiation therapy, image guided radiation therapy and adaptive radiation therapy that is tailored to the specific needs of each patient. Our suite of products includes the CyberKnife® Systems and the TomoTherapy® Systems. The systems are highly complementary offerings, serving distinct patient populations treated by the same medical specialty.

The CyberKnife Systems are robotic systems designed to deliver radiosurgery treatments to cancer tumors anywhere in the body. They are the only dedicated, full body radiosurgery systems on the market. Radiosurgery is an alternative to traditional surgery for tumors and is performed on an outpatient basis in one to five treatment sessions. It allows for the treatment of patients who otherwise would not be treated with radiation, who may not be good candidates for surgery, or who desire non-surgical treatments. The use of radiosurgery with CyberKnife Systems to treat tumors throughout the body has grown significantly in recent years, but currently represents only a small portion of the patients who develop tumors treatable with CyberKnife Systems. A determination of when it may or may not be appropriate to use a CyberKnife System for treatment is at the discretion of the treating physician and depends on the specific patient. However, given the CyberKnife Systems' design to treat focal tumors, the CyberKnife Systems are generally not used to treat (1) very large tumors, which are considerably wider than the radiation beam that can be delivered by CyberKnife Systems, (2) diffuse, wide-spread disease, as is often the case for late stage cancers, because they are not localized (though CyberKnife Systems might be used to treat a focal area of the disease) and (3) systemic disease, like leukemias and lymphomas, which are not localized to an organ, but rather involve cells throughout the body.

We believe that the long term success of the CyberKnife System is dependent on a number of factors including the following:

- Change in medical practice to utilize radiosurgery more regularly as an alternative to surgery or other treatments;

- Greater awareness among doctors and patients of the benefits of radiosurgery with the CyberKnife Systems;
- Continued evolution in clinical studies demonstrating the safety, efficacy and other benefits of using the CyberKnife Systems to treat tumors in various parts of the body;
- Continued advances in technology that improve the quality of treatments and ease of use of the CyberKnife Systems;
- Improved access to radiosurgery with the CyberKnife Systems in various countries through regulatory approvals;
- Medical insurance reimbursement policies that cover CyberKnife System treatments; and
- Expansion of sales of CyberKnife Systems in countries throughout the world.

[Table of Contents](#)

The TomoTherapy Systems are advanced, fully integrated and versatile radiation therapy systems for the treatment of a wide range of cancer types. We began selling TomoTherapy Systems after our acquisition of TomoTherapy Incorporated on June 10, 2011. Radiation therapy is used in a variety of ways, often to treat tissue surrounding a tumor area after surgical removal of the tumor and also as the primary treatment for tumors. Radiation therapy treatments impact both cancer cells as well as healthy tissue; therefore the total prescribed radiation dose is divided into many fractions and delivered in an average of 25 to 35 treatment sessions over several weeks. Radiation therapy has been widely available and used in developed countries for decades, though many developing countries do not currently have a sufficient number of radiation therapy systems to adequately treat their domestic cancer patient populations. The number of radiation therapy systems in use and sold each year is currently many times larger than the number of radiosurgery systems. Large companies, including Varian Medical Systems, Inc. and Elekta AB, generate most sales in this market. We believe the TomoTherapy Systems offer clinicians and patients significant benefits over other radiation therapy systems in the market. We believe our ability to capture more sales in this established market will be influenced by a number of factors including the following:

- Greater awareness among doctors and patients of the benefits of radiation therapy using TomoTherapy Systems;
- Advances in technology which improve the quality of treatments and ease of use of TomoTherapy Systems;
- Our ability to improve the reliability of the TomoTherapy Systems; and
- Expansion of TomoTherapy System sales in countries throughout the world.

Sale of Our Products

Generating revenue from the sale of our systems is a lengthy process. Selling our systems, from first contact with a potential customer to a signed sales contract, meeting backlog criteria, generally spans six months to two years. The time from receipt of a signed contract to revenue recognition is governed generally by the time required by the customer to build, renovate or prepare the treatment room for installation of the system. This time varies significantly, generally from six to twenty-four months.

In the United States, we sell to customers, including hospitals and stand-alone treatment facilities, directly through our sales organization. Outside the United States, we sell to customers in over 80 countries directly and through distributors. We have sales and service offices in Japan and many countries in Europe and Asia. The following table shows the number of systems installed by geographic region as of March 31, 2012:

Americas	366
Europe	138
Asia (excluding Japan)	80
Japan	51
Total	635

International sales of our products account for a significant and growing portion of our total net revenue. Revenue derived from sales outside of the United States was \$55.2 million and \$158.9 million for the three and nine months ended March 31, 2012, respectively, while it was \$26.7 million and \$57.1 million for the three and nine months ended March 31, 2011, respectively. International sales as a percentage of our total net revenue was 54% and 49% for the three months ended March 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively and 51% and 39% for the nine months ended March 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively. The increase in international revenue during fiscal 2012 compared to fiscal 2011 primarily resulted from the inclusion of sales of TomoTherapy products and services in the three and nine months ended March 31, 2012.

For the nine months ended March 31, 2012, total combined new orders for systems from customers outside the United States have increased from the same period in the prior year. However, system orders in the United States this fiscal year have not been as strong as in the prior year. Although the TomoTherapy integration has been going well on many fronts, we believe the integration of the two sales teams in the United States has contributed to lower than anticipated system orders in this region. We have recently implemented a realignment of the sales organization in the United States designed to improve performance in this region and we expect to see the effects of the realignment in the coming quarters.

[Table of Contents](#)

Backlog

Since the start of fiscal 2012 (the fiscal year beginning July 1, 2011), we have been reporting backlog in a manner that is common for all of our products and services.

- **Products:** Orders for systems, upgrades, and our shared ownership program will be reported in backlog, excluding amounts attributable to warranty service, training and installation.
- **Service:** Orders for service, warranty, installation, training and other non-recurring services will not be reported in backlog. Previously, orders for service were reported in backlog for CyberKnife Systems but not for TomoTherapy Systems.

For orders that cover both products and services, only the portion of the order that is recognized as product revenue is reported as backlog. The portion of the order that is recognized as service revenue (for example, warranty service, training and installation) is not included in reported backlog. Additionally, orders for TomoTherapy Systems made on or before June 30, 2011, that met the historical TomoTherapy backlog criteria have been grandfathered into, and are included in, our backlog, with the exception of orders that would have “aged out” as of June 30, 2011. TomoTherapy previously did not have an “age out” criteria, so we have adjusted the TomoTherapy backlog to age out orders where 2.5 years have passed from the time the order entered TomoTherapy’s backlog. As of March 31, 2012, product only backlog was \$279.6 million in total. This amount is calculated based on the criteria set forth below and therefore is not comparable to backlog amounts reported for CyberKnife or TomoTherapy Systems prior to fiscal 2012, which were calculated using different criteria. Accordingly, we have not included, for comparison purposes, backlog amounts as of March 31, 2011 for either CyberKnife or TomoTherapy Systems, due to the changes in methodology.

Beginning with July 1, 2011, in order for the product portion of a sales agreement to be counted as backlog, it must meet the following criteria:

- The contract is signed and properly executed by both the customer and us. A customer purchase order that is signed and incorporates the terms of our contract quote will be considered equivalent to a signed and executed contract;
- The contract is non-contingent—it either has cleared all its contingencies or contains no contingencies when signed;
- We have received a minimum deposit or a letter of credit; the sale is a direct channel sale to a government entity, or the product has shipped to a customer with credit sufficient to cover the minimum deposit;
- The specific end customer site has been identified by the customer in the written contract or written amendment; and
- Less than 2.5 years have passed since the contract met all the criteria above.

Although our backlog includes only contractual agreements from our customers to purchase CyberKnife Systems or TomoTherapy Systems, we cannot make assurances that we will convert backlog into recognized revenue due to factors outside our control, which includes, without limitation, changes in customers’ needs or financial condition, changes in government or health insurance reimbursement policies, changes to regulatory requirements, or other reasons for cancellation of orders.

Material Weakness in Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

In connection with our evaluation of internal control over financial reporting for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2011, we identified a material weakness relating to our accounting for significant, non-routine transactions. During the three and nine months ended March 31, 2012, our efforts to remediate the previously reported material weakness in internal control over financial reporting consisted of the following corrective actions:

- We hired several finance personnel which has provided us with the appropriate resources and technical skills to ensure that the period-end financial close and reporting processes are completed in an adequate and reliable manner.
- We implemented a practice, pursuant to which we consulted with, and will continue to consult with external subject matter experts as necessary to address any significant, non-routine transactions that may arise in order to validate the accounting approach prior to execution.

Although we have taken measures to remediate the previously reported material weakness mentioned above, as well as other significant deficiencies and control deficiencies, we cannot assure you that we have identified all, or that we will not in the future have additional material weaknesses, significant deficiencies and control deficiencies.

[Table of Contents](#)

Results of Operations

Three and nine months ended March 31, 2012 Compared to three and nine months ended March 31, 2011

Net Revenue

(Dollars in thousands)	Three Months Ended March 31,			Variance in Percent	Nine Months Ended March 31,			Variance in Percent
	2012	2011	Variance		2012	2011	Variance	
Products	\$ 59,875	\$ 35,584	\$ 24,291	68%	\$ 179,851	\$ 90,771	\$ 89,080	98%
Services	41,720	18,253	23,467	129%	127,218	54,833	72,385	132%
Other	221	910	(689)	-76%	1,621	1,457	164	11%
Net Revenue	<u>\$ 101,816</u>	<u>\$ 54,747</u>	<u>\$ 47,069</u>	86%	<u>\$ 308,690</u>	<u>\$ 147,061</u>	<u>\$ 161,629</u>	110%

Total net revenue for the three months ended March 31, 2012 increased by \$47.1 million from the three months ended March 31, 2011 to \$101.8 million. This increase was primarily due to the addition of \$47.7 million of revenue related to our TomoTherapy Systems and services.

The increase in product revenue for the three months ended March 31, 2012 as compared to the comparable period in 2011 was due to the addition of \$27.3 million of revenue from TomoTherapy Systems offset by a decline of \$3.0 million of revenue from CyberKnife Systems resulting from a decrease in revenue by one unit. The increase in service revenue for the three months ended March 31, 2012 as compared to the comparable period in 2011 was due to the addition of \$20.3 million of revenue generated from service of TomoTherapy systems and an increase of \$3.2 million of revenue generated from service of CyberKnife systems due to increases in the installed base.

Total net revenue for the nine months ended March 31, 2012 increased by \$161.6 million from the nine months ended March 31, 2011 to \$308.7 million primarily due to the addition of \$176.0 million of revenue related to our TomoTherapy Systems and services as well as from increases in CyberKnife service revenues due to increases in the installed base. This was offset by a decline of \$20.2 million in revenue related to our CyberKnife Systems due to a decrease in revenue by one unit during the nine months ended March 31, 2012 as compared to the nine months ended March 31, 2011, increases in revenue deferrals for systems sold with extended payment terms, decreases in revenue from treatment vault construction, and lower average revenue per system due to changes in the mix of products sold. In addition, we recognized nil and approximately \$1.9 million of revenue during the nine months ended March 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively, arising from revenue previously deferred from systems sold with a platinum service agreement in prior years.

The increase in product revenue for the nine months ended March 31, 2012 as compared to the comparable period in 2011 was due to the addition of \$109.3 million of revenue from TomoTherapy Systems offset by a decline of \$20.2 million of revenue from CyberKnife Systems. The increase in service revenue for the nine months ended March 31, 2012 as compared to the comparable period in 2011 was due to the addition of \$65.3 million of revenue generated from service of TomoTherapy systems and an increase of \$7.1 million of revenue generated from service of CyberKnife systems due to increases in the installed base.

We acquired TomoTherapy on June 10, 2011, therefore our results for the three and nine month period ended March 31, 2011 did not include any revenues or cost of revenues related to TomoTherapy Systems. In accordance with purchase accounting standards, a number of adjustments were recorded to the value of assets and liabilities of TomoTherapy as of the closing of the acquisition on June 10, 2011. During the three and nine month periods ended March 31, 2012, \$1.9 million and \$10.7 million, respectively, of the write-up of deferred service revenue was recognized as service revenue. We anticipate the balance of this write-up will be recognized as service revenue through the fourth quarter of fiscal 2012.

We expect our service revenue to increase as our installed base continues to grow.

Gross Profit

	Three Months Ended March 31,				Nine Months Ended March 31,			
	2012		2011		2012		2011	
	(Dollars in thousands)	(% of net revenue)	(Dollars in thousands)	(% of net revenue)	(Dollars in thousands)	(% of net revenue)	(Dollars in thousands)	(% of net revenue)
Gross profit	\$ 36,111	35.5%	\$ 27,313	49.9%	\$ 100,782	32.6%	\$ 75,016	51.0%
Products	27,474	45.9%	21,385	60.1%	76,277	42.4%	55,884	61.6%
Services	8,620	20.7%	6,101	33.4%	23,592	18.5%	19,436	35.4%
Other	17	7.7%	(173)	-19.0%	913	56.3%	(304)	-20.9%

Our gross profit margin for the three months ended March 31, 2012 was 14.4 percentage points lower than the gross profit margin during the three months ended March 31, 2011. This decline was due principally to the lower gross profit margin of 15.9% on TomoTherapy revenues included in our results of operations for the three months ended March 31, 2012. The gross profit margin on CyberKnife revenues was 52.7% during the three months ended March 31, 2012 as compared to 49.9% during the three months ended March 31, 2011 due to higher margins on service revenues.

[Table of Contents](#)

Our gross profit margin for the nine months ended March 31, 2012 was 18.4 percentage points lower than the gross profit margin during the nine months ended March 31, 2011. This decline was due principally to the lower gross profit margin of 19.7% on TomoTherapy revenues included in our results of operations for the nine months ended March 31, 2012. In addition, the gross profit margin on CyberKnife revenues declined slightly by 1.2 percentage points during the nine months ended March 31, 2012 to 49.8%, primarily due to changes in product mix partially offset by higher margins on service revenues.

In accordance with purchase accounting standards, a number of adjustments were recorded to the value of assets and liabilities of TomoTherapy as of the closing of the acquisition on June 10, 2011. These included the write-up of inventory based on selling price rather than cost of manufacturing, the write-down of deferred product revenue, the write-up of deferred service revenue, and the recording of intangible assets related to developed technology and to backlog existing at the time of the acquisition. On the acquisition date, deferred service and product revenues were valued at cost plus a reasonable margin. Including the results of these and other purchase accounting adjustments, the results from the sale of TomoTherapy products and services for the nine month period ended March 31, 2012 reflect a negative gross margin. During the three and nine month periods ended March 31, 2012, product revenues were reduced by \$1.3 million and \$1.9 million, respectively, while product cost of revenues were increased by \$3.8 million and \$19.7 million, respectively. Services revenues were increased by \$1.9 million and \$10.7 million for the three and nine month periods ended March 31, 2012, respectively, while services cost of revenues were decreased by \$0.5 million during the three month period ended March 31, 2012 and increased by \$0.9 million during the nine month period ended March 31, 2012. We expect that the impact of the purchase accounting adjustments to inventory and deferred revenues will flow through our statement of operations from the date of the acquisition through the fourth quarter of fiscal 2012.

Selling and Marketing

(Dollars in thousands)	Three Months Ended March 31,			Variance in Percent	Nine Months Ended March 31,			Variance in Percent
	2012	2011	Variance		2012	2011	Variance	
Selling and marketing	\$ 12,449	\$ 8,127	\$ 4,322	53%	\$ 40,047	\$ 23,874	\$ 16,173	68%
<i>Percentage of net revenue</i>	<i>12.2%</i>	<i>14.8%</i>			<i>13.0%</i>	<i>16.2%</i>		

Selling and marketing expenses for the three months ended March 31, 2012 increased \$4.3 million compared to the three months ended March 31, 2011, primarily due to headcount increases leading to higher compensation and employee related expenses of \$2.2 million, facilities and information technology related expenses of \$1.0 million, consulting expenses of \$0.4 million and travel expenses of \$0.3 million. During the three months ended

March 31, 2012, we incurred \$3.2 million of selling and marketing expenses in our TomoTherapy subsidiary consisting primarily of compensation and employee related expenses of \$1.5 million and facilities and information technology related expenses of \$1.0 million.

Selling and marketing expenses for the nine months ended March 31, 2012 increased \$16.2 million compared to the nine months ended March 31, 2011. The increase was primarily attributable to higher compensation and employee related expenses of \$9.2 million, travel expense of \$2.0 million, facilities and information technology related expenses of \$1.9 million, tradeshow expense of \$1.3 million and consulting expenses of \$1.2 million. During the nine months ended March 31, 2012, we incurred \$12.5 million of selling and marketing expenses in our TomoTherapy subsidiary consisting primarily of compensation and employee related expenses of \$7.8 million, travel expense of \$1.7 million and facilities and information technology related expenses of \$1.5 million.

Research and Development

(Dollars in thousands)	Three Months Ended March 31,		Variance	Variance in Percent	Nine Months Ended March 31,		Variance	Variance in Percent
	2012	2011			2012	2011		
Research and development	\$ 23,783	\$ 9,291	\$ 14,492	156%	\$ 64,222	\$ 26,651	\$ 37,571	141%
<i>Percentage of net revenue</i>	23.4%	17.0%			20.8%	18.1%		

Research and development expenses for the three months ended March 31, 2012 increased \$14.5 million compared to the three months ended March 31, 2011 due in part to our continued investment in research and development of new technologies. The increase was primarily attributable to \$5.5 million in higher compensation and employee related expenses due to increased headcount, increases in facilities and information technology related expenses by \$3.7 million, \$3.1 million in higher spending for consulting expenses related to development projects and \$1.7 million for project related costs. During the three months ended March 31, 2012, we incurred \$12.0 million of research and development expenses in our TomoTherapy subsidiary consisting primarily of consulting expenses of \$4.1 million, compensation and employee related expenses of \$3.9 million and facilities and information technology related expenses of \$3.3 million.

Research and development expenses for the nine months ended March 31, 2012 increased \$37.6 million compared to the nine months ended March 31, 2011. The increase was primarily attributable to \$18.9 million in higher compensation and employee related expenses, \$7.2 million in higher facilities and information technology related expenses, \$6.6 million in higher consulting expenses and \$3.3 million in higher project related costs. During the nine months ended March 31, 2012, we incurred \$30.7 million of research and development expenses in our TomoTherapy subsidiary consisting primarily of compensation and employee related expenses of \$15.0 million, consulting expenses of \$7.2 million and facilities and information technology related expenses of \$6.9 million.

[Table of Contents](#)

General and Administrative

(Dollars in thousands)	Three Months Ended March 31,		Variance	Variance in Percent	Nine Months Ended March 31,		Variance	Variance in Percent
	2012	2011			2012	2011		
General and administrative	\$ 14,213	\$ 10,421	\$ 3,792	36%	\$ 42,845	\$ 27,461	\$ 15,384	56%
<i>Percentage of net revenue</i>	14.0%	19.0%			13.9%	18.7%		

General and administrative expenses for the three months ended March 31, 2012 increased \$3.8 million compared to the three months ended March 31, 2011. The increase was primarily attributable to higher compensation and employee related expenses of \$3.0 million due to increased headcount and higher office administrative expenses of \$0.4 million. During the three months ended March 31, 2012, we incurred \$2.5 million of general and administrative expenses in our TomoTherapy subsidiary consisting primarily of compensation and employee related expenses of \$1.8 million and consulting, accounting and legal expenses of \$0.3 million.

General and administrative expenses for the nine months ended March 31, 2012 increased \$15.4 million compared to the nine months ended March 31, 2011. The increase was primarily attributable to increased compensation and employee related expenses of \$7.1 million, higher consulting, accounting and legal expenses of \$5.8 million, higher facilities and information technology related expenses of \$1.2 million and higher office administrative expenses of \$0.8 million. During the nine months ended March 31, 2012, we incurred \$7.7 million of general and administrative expenses in our TomoTherapy subsidiary consisting primarily of compensation and employee related expenses of \$5.2 million and consulting, accounting and legal expenses of \$1.8 million.

Other Income (Expense), Net

(Dollars in thousands)	Three Months Ended March 31,		Variance	Variance in Percent	Nine Months Ended March 31,		Variance	Variance in Percent
	2012	2011			2012	2011		
Other income (expense), net	\$ (952)	\$ 22	\$ (974)	-4427%	\$ (8,323)	\$ 2,314	\$ (10,637)	-460%
<i>Percentage of net revenue</i>	-0.9%	0.0%			-2.7%	1.6%		

Other income (expense), net, was \$1.0 million of net other expense for the three months ended March 31, 2012 compared to net other income of less than \$0.1 million for the three months ended March 31, 2011. During the three months ended March 31, 2012, we incurred interest expense of \$1.9 million related to our 3.75% Convertible Senior Notes due August 1, 2016 (the "Notes"), which were issued in August 2011 offset by gains of \$1.2 million from foreign currency transactions. We earned interest income of \$0.1 million on our investment portfolio and recorded losses of \$0.1 million from foreign currency transactions during the three months ended March 31, 2011.

Other income (expense), net, was \$8.3 million of net other expense for the nine months ended March 31, 2012 compared to net other income of \$2.3 million for the nine months ended March 31, 2011. During the nine months ended March 31, 2012, we incurred interest expense of \$5.1 million related to the Notes, foreign currency loss of \$2.6 million and other miscellaneous expenses of \$0.6 million. During the nine months ended March 31, 2011, our other income, net, consisted of \$2.0 million related to foreign currency transaction gains and \$0.5 million of interest income from our investment portfolio, offset by miscellaneous expenses of \$0.2 million.

Provision for Incomes Taxes

(Dollars in thousands)	Three Months Ended March 31,			Variance Percent	Nine Months Ended March 31,			Variance Percent
	2012	2011	Variance		2012	2011	Variance	
Provision for income taxes	\$ 1,247	\$ 656	\$ 591	90%	\$ 2,152	\$ 1,046	\$ 1,106	106%
Percentage of net revenue	1.2%	1.2%			0.7%	0.7%		

On a quarterly basis, we provide for income taxes based upon an estimated annual effective income tax rate. For the three and nine months ended March 31, 2012 we recorded income tax expense of \$1.2 million and \$2.2 million, respectively, while for the three and nine months ended March 31, 2011, we recorded income tax expense of \$0.7 million and \$1.0 million respectively. The increases during the three and nine months ended March 31, 2012 are primarily related to increases in corporate earnings of our foreign subsidiaries.

The Company's effective tax rate does not include the impact of certain undistributed foreign earnings for which it has not provided U.S. taxes because the Company plans to reinvest such earnings indefinitely outside the United States.

We are also subject to periodic examination of our income tax returns by the Internal Revenue Service ("IRS") and other tax authorities. Currently, our fiscal year 2009 U.S. federal tax return is under examination by the IRS. The audit is still in the information gathering stage.

[Table of Contents](#)

Performance-based Awards

During fiscal 2012, the Compensation Committee of our Board of Directors of the Company approved the granting of Performance-Based Stock Units ("PSUs") to employees of the Company which vest only upon meeting certain financial performance criteria during the performance period commencing on the first day of our 2012 fiscal year and ending on the last day of our 2013 fiscal year. In the event that the PSUs do not become vested as a result of the Company's performance during the performance period, all PSUs are automatically forfeited by the participants effective as of the last day of the performance period. During the nine months ended March 31, 2012, approximately 1.0 million PSUs have been granted to employees valued at approximately \$3.9 million which was based on the fair value of the Company's common stock on the grant date and will be recognized over the requisite performance period based on our assessment of the probability of achieving the performance criteria. As of March 31, 2012, we have assessed that it was not probable that the performance criteria would be met during the performance period and accordingly, no compensation cost has been recognized for the PSUs during the three and nine months ended March 31, 2012. If in a future period management revises its assessment and concludes that it is probable that the performance criteria will be met, we will record a cumulative catch up compensation charge for the PSUs in that period. Remaining compensation charges for the PSUs would be recognized ratably over the remaining performance period.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

At March 31, 2012, we had \$151.3 million in cash and cash equivalents. We expect to use cash for the balance of fiscal 2012 driven primarily by operating losses and capital expenditures. We anticipate that we will begin to turn profitable and generate positive cash flow in the later part of fiscal 2013. Cash from operations could be affected by various risks and uncertainties, including, but not limited to the risks included in Part II, Item 1A of our Form 10-Qs for the quarters ended September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2011, as updated in Part II, Item 1A titled "Risk Factors" of this Form 10-Q. However, based on our current business plan and revenue prospects, we believe that we will have sufficient cash resources and anticipated cash flows to fund our operations for at least the next 12 months.

Cash Flows From Operating Activities

Net cash used in operating activities was \$32.8 million for the nine months ended March 31, 2012 which was attributable to net loss of \$56.8 million and cash used for working capital purposes of \$12.6 million, partially offset by \$36.7 million of non-cash charges. Non-cash charges primarily included \$24.5 million of depreciation and amortization expenses, \$6.3 million of share-based compensation expense, accretion of interest expense on the Notes of \$2.6 million, \$2.0 million for provision for write-down of inventories and \$1.0 million for provision for bad debts. Cash used for working capital was primarily attributed to increases in accounts receivable of \$12.9 million due to higher billings, decreases in accounts payable of \$15.8 million due to timing of vendor payments and decreases in accrued liabilities of \$15.6 million due to payments for acquisition related, value-added tax related, and other liabilities, decreases in customer deposits of \$6.3 million due to lower minimum deposit requirements on new orders and partially offset by cash flow from decreases in inventory balances of \$9.2 million due to usage and increases in deferred revenues of \$24.1 million due to increased shipments and billings.

Net cash used in operating activities was \$9.1 million for the nine months ended March 31, 2011 which was attributable to net loss of \$1.7 million, \$11.6 million of non-cash charges and cash used for working capital purposes of \$19.0 million. Working capital changes included a decrease in deferred revenue, net of deferred cost of revenue of \$2.3 million, an increase in inventories of \$7.8 million, an increase in accounts receivable of \$6.2 million and a decrease in accounts payable of \$2.9 million. Non-cash charges primarily included \$6.4 million of stock-based compensation charges, \$4.4 million of depreciation and amortization expenses, and write-down of inventories of \$0.7 million.

Cash Flows From Investing Activities

Net cash used in investing activities was \$9.1 million for the nine months ended March 31, 2012, which consisted of purchases of property and equipment of \$7.7 million and \$1.4 million related to the acquisition of TomoTherapy.

Net cash provided by investing activities was \$16.0 million for the nine months ended March 31, 2011, which was primarily attributable to net marketable securities activities of \$20.1 million and \$4.0 million of cash used for purchases of property and equipment.

Cash Flows From Financing Activities

Net cash provided by financing activities was \$98.8 million for the nine months ended March 31, 2012. In August 2011, we issued the Notes for net proceeds of \$96.1 million. In addition, we received \$2.7 million attributable to proceeds from the exercise of common stock options and the purchase of

common stock under our equity compensation plans.

Net cash provided by financing activities of \$4.3 million for the nine months ended March 31, 2011 was attributable to proceeds from the exercise of common stock options and the purchase of common stock under our equity compensation plans.

Convertible Debt

On August 1, 2011, we issued \$100 million aggregate principal amount of the Notes to certain qualified institutional buyers or QIBs. The Notes were offered and sold to the QIBs pursuant to Rule 144A under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended. The net proceeds from the offering, after deducting the initial purchaser's discount and commission and related offering costs were approximately \$96.1 million. The offering costs and the initial purchaser's discount and commission (which are recorded in Other Assets) are both being amortized to interest expense using the effective interest method over five years. The Notes bear interest at a rate of 3.75% per year, payable semi-annually in arrears in cash on February 1 and August 1 of each year, beginning on February 1, 2012. The Notes will mature on August 1, 2016, unless earlier repurchased, redeemed or converted.

27

[Table of Contents](#)

The Notes were issued under an Indenture between us and The Bank of New York Mellon Trust Company, N.A., as trustee. The Notes are convertible, as described below, at our election, into our common stock, cash or a combination thereof at an initial conversion rate equal to 105.5548 shares of common stock per \$1,000 principal amount of the Notes, which is equivalent to a conversion price of approximately \$9.47 per share of common stock, subject to adjustment. Holders of the Notes may convert their Notes at any time on or after May 1, 2016 until the close of business on the business day immediately preceding the maturity date. Prior to May 1, 2016, holders of the Notes may convert their Notes only under the following circumstances: (1) during any calendar quarter after the calendar quarter ending September 30, 2011, and only during such calendar quarter, if the closing sale price of our common stock for each of 20 or more trading days in the 30 consecutive trading days ending on the last trading day of the immediately preceding calendar quarter exceeds 130% of the conversion price in effect on the last trading day of the immediately preceding calendar quarter; (2) during the five consecutive business days immediately after any five consecutive trading-day period (such five consecutive trading-day period, the "Note Measurement Period") in which the trading price per \$1,000 principal amount of Notes for each trading day of that Note Measurement Period was equal to or less than 98% of the product of the closing sale price of shares of our common stock and the applicable conversion rate for such trading day; (3) if we call any or all of the Notes for redemption, at any time prior to the close of business on the business day immediately preceding the redemption date; or (4) upon the occurrence of specified corporate transactions as described in the Indenture.

Holders of the Notes, who convert their Notes in connection with a "make-whole fundamental change", as defined in the Indenture, may be entitled to a make-whole premium in the form of an increase in the conversion rate. Additionally, in the event of a fundamental change, as defined in the Indenture, holders of the Notes may require us to purchase all or a portion of their Notes at a fundamental change repurchase price equal to 100% of the principal amount of Notes, plus accrued and unpaid interest, if any, to, but not including, the fundamental change repurchase date.

On or after August 1, 2014 and prior to the maturity date, we may redeem for cash all or a portion of the Notes if the closing sale price of our common stock exceeds 130% of the applicable conversion price (the initial conversion price is approximately \$9.47 per share of common stock) of such Notes for at least 20 trading days during any consecutive 30 trading-day period (including the last trading day of such period).

In accordance with ASC 470-20 *Debt with Conversion and Other Options*, we separately account for the liability and equity conversion components of the Notes. The principal amount of the liability component of the Notes was \$75.9 million as of date of issuance, which was based on the present value of its cash flows using a discount rate of 10%, our approximate borrowing rate at the date of the issuance for a similar debt instrument without the conversion feature. The carrying value of the equity conversion component was \$24.1 million. A portion of the initial purchaser's discount and commission and the offering costs totaling \$0.9 million was allocated to the equity conversion component. The liability component will be accreted to the principal amount of the Notes using the effective interest method over five years.

Operating Capital and Capital Expenditure Requirements

Our future capital requirements depend on numerous factors. These factors include but are not limited to the following:

- Revenue generated by sales of our products, our shared ownership program and service plans;
- Costs associated with our sales and marketing initiatives and manufacturing activities;
- Facilities, equipment and IT systems required to support current and future operations;
- Rate of progress and cost of our research and development activities;
- Costs of obtaining and maintaining FDA and other regulatory clearances of our products;
- Effects of competing technological and market developments;
- Number and timing of acquisitions and other strategic transactions; and
- Costs associated with the integration of TomoTherapy.

If our cash and cash equivalents are insufficient to satisfy our liquidity requirements, we may seek to sell additional equity or debt securities or obtain additional credit facilities. The sale of additional equity or convertible debt securities could result in dilution to our stockholders. If additional funds are raised through the issuance of debt securities, these securities could have rights senior to those associated with our common stock and could contain covenants that would restrict our operations. Additional financing may not be available at all, or in amounts or on terms acceptable to us. If we are unable to obtain this additional financing, we may be required to reduce the scope of our planned product development and marketing efforts.

28

Contractual Obligations and Commitments

We presented our contractual obligations in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the previous annual reporting period ended June 30, 2011. There have been no material changes outside of the ordinary course of business in those obligations during the current quarter.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

We do not have any off-balance sheet arrangements.

Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates

The discussion and analysis of our financial condition and results of operations is based on our condensed consolidated financial statements, which have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (“GAAP”). The preparation of these condensed consolidated financial statements requires management to make estimates and judgments that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and the disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the consolidated financial statements, as well as revenue and expenses during the reporting periods. We evaluate our estimates and judgments on an ongoing basis. We base our estimates on historical experience and on various other factors we believe are reasonable under the circumstances, the results of which form the basis for making judgments about the carrying value of assets and liabilities. Actual results could therefore differ materially from those estimates if actual conditions differ from our assumptions.

All of our significant accounting policies and methods used in the preparation of our condensed consolidated financial statements are described in “Note 2, Summary of Significant Accounting Policies”, in notes to the condensed consolidated financial statements. During the three and nine months ended March 31, 2012, there have been no changes to the critical accounting policies and estimates as discussed in Part II, Item 7 of our 2011 Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended June 30, 2011, which we believe are those related to revenue recognition, business combinations and intangible asset impairment, inventories, share-based compensation expense, income taxes, loss contingencies and corporate bonus expense and accruals.

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

Foreign Currency Exchange Rate Risk

As of March 31, 2012, there were no amounts in deferred revenue for CyberKnife and TomoTherapy System contracts denominated in a foreign currency, in which system revenue would be recognized in future periods. Future fluctuations in the value of the U.S. dollar may affect the price competitiveness of our products outside the United States. For direct sales outside the United States, we sell in both U.S. dollars and local currencies, which could expose us to additional foreign currency risks, including changes in currency exchange rates. Our operating expenses in countries outside the United States, including some of our commissions related to sales of the CyberKnife and TomoTherapy Systems, are payable in foreign currencies and therefore expose us to currency risk. To the extent that management can predict the timing of payments under sales contracts or for operating expenses that are denominated in foreign currencies, we may engage in hedging transactions to mitigate such risks in the future.

Interest Rate Risk

At March 31, 2012, we had \$74.9 million of cash equivalents invested in money market funds and certificates of deposit. Our earnings would not be materially affected by interest rate risk due to the low interest rate on these highly liquid investments.

Equity Price Risk

On August 1, 2011, we issued \$100 million aggregate principal amount of the Notes. Upon conversion, we can settle the obligation by issuing our common stock, cash or a combination thereof at an initial conversion rate equal to 105.5548 shares of common stock per \$1,000 principal amount of the Notes, which is equivalent to a conversion price of approximately \$9.47 per share of common stock, subject to adjustment. There is no equity price risk if the share price of our common stock is below \$9.47 upon conversion of the Notes. For every \$1 that the share price of our common stock exceeds \$9.47, we expect to issue an additional \$10.6 million in cash or shares of our common stock, or a combination thereof, if all of the Notes are converted.

Item 4. Controls and Procedures

Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

We maintain disclosure controls and procedures that are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed in our Exchange Act reports is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the Securities and Exchange Commission’s rules and forms and that such information is accumulated and communicated to our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, as appropriate to allow for timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

Our management, with the participation of our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, evaluated the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures as of March 31, 2012. Based on this evaluation, and because of the continuing material weakness described below, our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that as of March 31, 2012 our disclosure controls and procedures were not effective to provide reasonable assurance that the information required to be disclosed by us in the reports we file or submit under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the Securities and Exchange Commission’s rules and forms, and that such information is accumulated and communicated to our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

Notwithstanding the material weakness described above, we have performed additional analyses and other procedures to enable management to conclude that our condensed consolidated financial statements included in this report were prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

Internal Control over Financial Reporting

Previously Reported Material Weakness

As described herein, and as previously reported in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2011, in connection with the audit of our consolidated financial statements for the year ended June 30, 2011 we identified a material weakness in our internal control over financial reporting related to accounting for significant, non-routine transactions.

Specifically, we did not have sufficient numbers of highly skilled accountants to provide for a timely analysis, documentation and review of the acquisition of TomoTherapy which closed on June 10, 2011. During the three and nine months ended March 31, 2012, our efforts to remediate this continuing material weakness in our internal control over financial reporting consisted of the following corrective actions:

- We hired several finance personnel which has provided us with the appropriate resources and technical skills to ensure that the period-end financial close and reporting processes are completed in an adequate and reliable manner.
- We implemented a practice, pursuant to which we consulted with, and will continue to consult with external subject matter experts as necessary to address any significant, non-routine transactions that may arise in order to validate the accounting approach prior to execution.

Changes in Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

During the three months ended March 31, 2012, except as described above, there was no change in our internal control over financial reporting that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

Inherent Limitations of Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

Internal control over financial reporting cannot provide absolute assurance of achieving financial reporting objectives because of its inherent limitations. Internal control over financial reporting is a process that involves human diligence and compliance and is subject to lapses in judgment and breakdowns resulting from human failures. Internal control over financial reporting also can be circumvented by collusion or improper management override. Because of such limitations, there is a risk that material misstatements may not be prevented or detected on a timely basis by internal control over financial reporting. However, these inherent limitations are known features of the financial reporting process. Therefore, it is possible to design into the process safeguards to reduce, though not eliminate, this risk. In designing and evaluating the disclosure controls and procedures, management recognized that any controls and procedures, no matter how well designed and operated, can provide only reasonable assurance of achieving the desired control objectives, and in reaching a reasonable level of assurance, management is required to apply its judgment in evaluating the cost-benefit relationship of possible controls and procedures.

[Table of Contents](#)

PART II. OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1. Legal Proceedings.

Please refer to Note 7 to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements above for a description of certain legal proceedings currently pending against the Company. From time to time we are involved in legal proceedings arising in the ordinary course of our business.

Item 1A. Risk Factors.

Description of the risk factors associated with our business are included under “Risk Factors” Part II, Item 1A. of our Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q for the quarters ended September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2011 (the “Prior Filings”) and are incorporated herein by reference.

The descriptions below include material changes to the risk factors affecting our business that were previously disclosed in the Prior Filings. Any risk factor included below supersedes the description of the relevant risk factor in the Prior Filings.

We anticipate that the distribution arrangements between Accuray and Siemens AG will terminate in March 2013 without Siemens having distributed any significant number of CyberKnife or TomoTherapy Systems.

In June 2010, we entered into a Strategic Alliance Agreement (the “Alliance Agreement”) with Siemens AG (“Siemens”), pursuant to which (1) we granted Siemens certain distribution rights to our CyberKnife Systems, (2) Siemens agreed to incorporate certain Accuray technology into certain of its linac products, and (3) we created a research and development relationship between Accuray and Siemens for the pursuit and implementation of other potential collaboration opportunities. Siemens terminated the Alliance Agreement effective December 23, 2011, thereby terminating the elements described in clauses (2) and (3) above. On December 26, 2011, Siemens and the Company entered into a distribution agreement pursuant to which Siemens may (but is not required to) distribute both CyberKnife and TomoTherapy Systems. The term of this agreement ends in March 2013. Sales to date under the Siemens Alliance Agreement and subsequent distribution agreement with Accuray have not been material.

In April 2012, Siemens and Varian Medical Systems, Inc. (“Varian”) announced that they had entered into a strategic global partnership involving mutual marketing and representation of products for imaging and treatment in the global radiation oncology business, the development of software interfaces between Siemens and Varian treatment systems and potential joint development of new products. Given this announcement, we anticipate that Siemens will distribute few, if any, CyberKnife or TomoTherapy Systems during the remaining term of its distribution agreement.

We may not be able to realize all of the desired benefits from our relationship with Compact Particle Acceleration Corporation (“CPAC”).

Since April 2008, TomoTherapy has been an investor in CPAC to continue development of its research initiative for a compact proton therapy system for the treatment of cancer. CPAC has sought, and is continuing to seek, investments from third parties to support the development of this technology. On April 20, 2012, we entered into various transactions with CPAC and its other stockholders pursuant to which we invested \$1.1 million and agreed to invest up to an additional \$0.7 million in exchange for preferred stock of CPAC and warrants to purchase common stock. We also agreed to convert certain of our convertible loans with CPAC into preferred stock. Certain other investors also participated in the financing. In connection with the transactions, we terminated our option to purchase a portion of the CPAC stock held by other CPAC investors in exchange for the right to commercialize the technology in the medical field, but now we have the option, upon the occurrence of certain events, to elect to either acquire CPAC or enter into a non-exclusive supply and distribution agreement for CPAC's compact proton therapy products. The triggers for the option becoming exercisable include CPAC achieving certain technical milestones or the CPAC board approving a proposal for the acquisition of CPAC. If Accuray were to elect the option to acquire CPAC, the acquisition price would be equal to the fair market value of CPAC at such time, as determined by one or more appraisers.

We may not be able to obtain all of the potential benefits relating to CPAC that we may desire. In addition, CPAC needs additional funding to continue its development efforts. We cannot be certain that CPAC will be able to obtain all of the additional financing required for this project on commercially reasonable terms or that the technology development will be successful. Even if CPAC is able to obtain financing and the technology development is successful, CPAC may not have the resources to commercialize the compact proton system, the market requirements may change such that commercialization is no longer feasible, or we may not be in a position to finance our option to purchase CPAC or to become a supplier or distributor of its technology. Any of these events could adversely affect our business, financial condition and results of operations.

[Table of Contents](#)

Disruption of critical information systems could harm our business and financial condition.

Information technology helps us operate efficiently, interface with customers, maintain financial accuracy and efficiency, and accurately produce our financial statements. We implemented and began use of a new Enterprise Resource Planning ("ERP") system effective January 1, 2011. Our initial implementation covered the basic elements of our ERP system. We recently migrated processes and systems used by TomoTherapy to the processes and systems used with our new ERP system, and we plan to implement additional capabilities in the future. If we do not allocate and effectively manage the resources necessary to build and sustain the proper technology infrastructure, or if we fail to smoothly manage the new ERP system or its recent integration with TomoTherapy's processes and systems, we could be subject to transaction errors, processing inefficiencies, the loss of customers, business disruptions, or the loss of or damage to intellectual property through a security breach. If our data management systems do not effectively collect, store, process and report relevant data for the operation of our business, whether due to equipment malfunction or constraints, software deficiencies, computer viruses, security breaches, catastrophic events or human error, our ability to effectively plan, forecast and execute our business plan and comply with applicable laws and regulations will be impaired, perhaps materially. Any such impairment could materially and adversely affect our financial condition, results of operations, cash flows and the timeliness with which we internally and externally report our operating results. Likewise, data privacy breaches by employees and others with permitted access to our systems may pose a risk that sensitive data may be exposed to unauthorized persons or to the public. There can be no assurance that any efforts we make to guard against such privacy breaches will prevent breakdowns or breaches in our systems that could adversely affect our business.

We have a large accumulated deficit, may incur future losses and may be unable to achieve profitability.

As of March 31, 2012, we had an accumulated deficit of \$196.2 million. We may incur net losses in the future, particularly as we continue to increase our manufacturing, research and development, and marketing activities. Our ability to achieve and sustain long-term profitability is largely dependent on our ability to successfully market and sell the CyberKnife and TomoTherapy Systems and to control our costs and effectively manage our growth. We cannot assure you that we will be able to achieve profitability. If we fail to achieve profitability, our stock price could decline.

Our industry is subject to intense competition and rapid technological change, which may result in products or new tumor treatments that are superior to the CyberKnife and TomoTherapy Systems. If we are unable to anticipate or keep pace with changes in the marketplace and the direction of technological innovation and customer demands, our products may become less useful or obsolete and our operating results will suffer.

The medical device industry in general and the non-invasive cancer treatment field in particular are subject to intense and increasing competition and rapidly evolving technologies. Because our products often have long development and government approval cycles, we must anticipate changes in the marketplace and the direction of technological innovation and customer demands. To compete successfully, we will need to continue to demonstrate the advantages of our products and technologies over well-established alternative procedures, products and technologies, and convince physicians and other healthcare decision makers of the advantages of our products and technologies. Traditional surgery and other forms of minimally invasive procedures, brachytherapy, chemotherapy or other drugs remain alternatives to the CyberKnife and TomoTherapy Systems.

We consider the competition for the TomoTherapy Systems to be existing radiation therapy systems, primarily using C-arm linacs, sold by large, well-capitalized companies with significantly greater market share and resources than we have. Several of these competitors are also able to leverage their fixed sales, service and other costs over multiple products or product lines. In particular, we compete with a number of existing radiation therapy equipment companies including Varian Medical Systems, Inc., Elekta AB, Mitsubishi Heavy Industries, and to a lesser extent, BrainLAB AG. Varian Medical Systems has been the leader in the external beam radiation therapy market for many years and has the majority market share for radiation therapy systems worldwide. In 2008, Varian began selling and installing RapidArc technology. The RapidArc technology purports to be able to deliver image-guided, intensity-modulated radiation therapy more rapidly than other similar systems, including the TomoTherapy Systems, and Varian has maintained a strong marketing campaign claiming this technology has the same capabilities as, or better capabilities than, our TomoTherapy Systems. In April 2010, Varian announced the launch of a new line of TrueBeam systems, which Varian claims are specifically designed for high-precision image-guided radiotherapy and radiosurgery. Varian claims this new platform is designed to be versatile and can be used for all forms of advanced external beam radiation therapy. In April 2012, Varian and Siemens announced that they had entered into a strategic global partnership involving mutual marketing and representation of products for imaging and treatment in the global radiation oncology business, the development of software interfaces between Siemens and Varian treatment systems and potential joint development of new products.

The CyberKnife System also competes directly with conventional linac-based radiation therapy systems, primarily from Elekta AB, BrainLAB AG, Mitsubishi Heavy Industries and Varian Medical Systems. At least one other company has announced that it is developing a product that, if introduced, would be directly competitive with the CyberKnife System. In general, because of aging demographics and attractive market factors in oncology, we believe that new competitors will enter the radiosurgery and radiation therapy markets in the years ahead. The CyberKnife System has not typically been used to perform

traditional radiation therapy and therefore competition has been limited with conventional medical linacs that perform traditional radiation therapy. However, the CyberKnife VSI System, which we introduced in November of 2009, may be used to perform Robotic IMRT, an advanced method of traditional radiation therapy, which products of Elekta, Siemens and Varian are also capable of performing. In addition, some manufacturers of conventional linac-based radiation therapy systems, including Varian and Elekta, have products that can be used in combination with body and/or head frames and image-guidance systems to perform radiosurgery.

[Table of Contents](#)

Furthermore, many government, academic and business entities are investing substantial resources in research and development of cancer treatments, including surgical approaches, radiation treatment, MRI-guided radiotherapy systems, proton therapy systems, drug treatment, gene therapy (which is the treatment of disease by replacing, manipulating, or supplementing nonfunctional genes) and other approaches. Moreover, at least one other company has announced that it is developing a product that, if introduced, would be directly competitive with the CyberKnife System. Successful developments that result in new approaches for the treatment of cancer could reduce the attractiveness of our products or render them obsolete.

Our future success will depend in large part on our ability to establish and maintain a competitive position in current and future technologies. Rapid technological development may render the CyberKnife and TomoTherapy Systems and their technologies obsolete. Many of our competitors have or may have greater corporate, financial, operational, sales and marketing resources, and more experience and resources in research and development than we have. We cannot assure you that our competitors will not succeed in developing or marketing technologies or products that are more effective or commercially attractive than our products or that would render our technologies and products less useful or obsolete. We may not have the financial resources, technical expertise, marketing, distribution or support capabilities to compete successfully in the future. Our success will depend in large part on our ability to maintain a competitive position with our technologies.

Our competitive position also depends on:

- Widespread awareness, acceptance and adoption by the radiation oncology and cancer therapy markets of our products;
- The development of new technologies that improve the effectiveness and productivity of the CyberKnife System radiosurgery process and the TomoTherapy System radiation therapy process;
- Product and procedure coverage and reimbursement from third-party payors, insurance companies and others;
- Availability of adequate coverage and reimbursement from third-party payors, insurance companies and others for procedures performed using our systems;
- Properly identifying customer needs and delivering new products or product enhancements to address those needs;
- Published, peer-reviewed studies supporting the efficacy and safety and long-term clinical benefit of the CyberKnife and TomoTherapy Systems;
- Limiting the time required from proof of feasibility to routine production;
- Limiting the timing and cost of obtaining regulatory approvals or clearances;
- The manufacture and delivery of our products in sufficient volumes on time, and accurately predicting and controlling costs associated with manufacturing, installation, warranty and maintenance of the products;
- Our ability to attract and retain qualified personnel;
- The extent of our intellectual property protection or our ability to otherwise develop proprietary products and processes;
- Securing sufficient capital resources to expand both our continued research and development, and sales and marketing efforts; and
- Obtaining and maintaining any necessary United States or foreign market approvals or clearances.

If customers choose not to purchase a CyberKnife or TomoTherapy System or choose to purchase our competitors' products, our revenue and market share would be adversely impacted, and there could be a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations. In addition, companies in the pharmaceutical or biotechnology fields may seek to develop methods of cancer treatment that are more effective than radiation therapy and radiosurgery, resulting in decreased demand for the TomoTherapy or CyberKnife Systems. Because the CyberKnife and TomoTherapy Systems have a long development cycle and because it can take significant time to receive government approvals or clearances for changes to the CyberKnife and TomoTherapy Systems, we must anticipate changes in the marketplace and the direction of technological innovation. Accordingly, if we are unable to anticipate and keep pace with new innovations in the cancer treatment market, the CyberKnife or TomoTherapy Systems or an aspect of their functionality may be rendered obsolete, which would have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations. In addition, some of our competitors may compete by changing their pricing model or by lowering the price of their conventional radiation therapy systems or ancillary supplies. If such pricing strategies are implemented, there could be downward pressure on the price of radiation therapy and radiosurgery systems. If we are unable to maintain or increase our selling prices, our gross margins will decline, and there could be a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

[Table of Contents](#)

If we fail to maintain an effective system of internal control over financial reporting, we may not be able to accurately report our financial results. As a result, current and potential stockholders could lose confidence in our financial reporting, which could have an adverse effect on our business and our

stock price.

Effective internal controls are necessary for us to provide reliable financial reports and to protect from fraudulent, illegal or unauthorized transactions. If we cannot maintain effective controls and provide reliable financial reports, our business and operating results could be harmed. Our management determined, as of June 30, 2011, that we had a material weakness in our internal control over financial reporting, and they have further concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures were not effective as of March 31, 2012, due to the material weakness, which has not yet been fully remediated. Our remediation efforts during fiscal 2012 have included (1) hiring several finance personnel which will provide us with the appropriate resources and technical skills to ensure that the period-end financial close and reporting processes are completed in an adequate and reliable manner, and (2) implementing a practice, pursuant to which we consulted with, and will continue to consult with, external subject matter experts as necessary to address any significant, non-routine transactions that may arise in order to validate the accounting approach prior to execution.

A failure to implement and maintain effective internal control over financial reporting, including a failure to implement corrective actions to address the control deficiencies identified above, could result in a material misstatement of our financial statements or otherwise cause us to fail to meet our financial reporting obligations. This, in turn, could result in a loss of investor confidence in the accuracy and completeness of our financial reports, which could have an adverse effect on our business and operating results and our stock price, and we could be subject to stockholder litigation. In addition, remedying this material weakness may require significant additional financial and managerial resources.

We may have difficulties in determining the effectiveness of our internal controls due to our complex financial model.

The complexity of our financial model contributes to our need for effective financial reporting systems and internal controls. We recognize revenue from a range of transactions including CyberKnife and TomoTherapy System sales, our shared ownership program and services. The CyberKnife and TomoTherapy Systems are complex products that contain both hardware and software elements. The complexity of the CyberKnife and TomoTherapy Systems and of our financial model pertaining to revenue recognition requires us to process a broader range of financial transactions than would be required by a company with a less complex financial model. Accordingly, deficiencies or weaknesses in our internal controls would likely impact us more significantly than they would impact a company with a less complex financial model. If we were to find that our internal controls were deficient, we could be required to amend or restate historical or pro forma financial statements, which would likely have a negative impact on our stock price. Our management determined, as of June 30, 2011, that we had a material weakness in our internal control over financial reporting, and they have further concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures were not effective as of March 31, 2012 due to the material weakness, which has not yet been fully remediated. As discussed above, we have been implementing remediation efforts throughout fiscal 2012.

We could become subject to product liability claims, product recalls, other field actions and warranty claims that could be expensive, divert management's attention and harm our business.

Our business exposes us to potential liability risks that are inherent in the manufacturing, marketing and sale of medical device products. We may be held liable if a CyberKnife or TomoTherapy System causes injury or death or is found otherwise unsuitable during usage. Our products incorporate sophisticated components and computer software. Complex software can contain errors, particularly when first introduced. In addition, new products or enhancements may contain undetected errors or performance problems that, despite testing, are discovered only after installation. Because our products are designed to be used to perform complex surgical and therapeutic procedures involving delivery of radiation to the body, defects, even if small, could result in a number of complications, some of which could be serious and could harm or kill patients. Any weaknesses in training and services associated with our products may also result in product liability lawsuits. It is also possible that defects in the design, manufacture or labeling of our products might necessitate a product recall or other field corrective action, which may result in warranty claims beyond our expectations and may harm our reputation and create adverse publicity. A product liability claim, regardless of its merit or eventual outcome, could result in significant legal defense costs. We may also be subject to claims for property damage or economic loss related to, or resulting from, any errors or defects in our products, or the installation, servicing and support of our products, or any professional services rendered in conjunction with our products. The coverage limits of our insurance policies may not be adequate to cover future claims. If sales of our products increase or we suffer future product liability claims, we may be unable to maintain product liability insurance in the future at satisfactory rates or with adequate amounts of coverage. A product liability claim, any product recalls or other field actions or excessive warranty claims, whether arising from defects in design or manufacture or labeling, could negatively affect our sales or require a change in the design, manufacturing process or the indications for which the CyberKnife or TomoTherapy Systems may be used, any of which could harm our reputation and business and result in a decline in revenue.

In addition, if a product we designed or manufactured is defective, whether due to design or manufacturing, or labeling defects, improper use of the product or other reasons, we may be required to notify regulatory authorities and/or to recall the product, possibly at our expense. We have voluntarily conducted recalls and product corrections in the past, including four recalls for the CyberKnife Systems and two recalls for the TomoTherapy Systems during the first nine months of fiscal 2012. Each of these recalls was initiated by Accuray. No serious adverse health consequences have been reported in connection with these recalls, and the costs associated with each such recall were not

[Table of Contents](#)

material. A required notification of a correction or removal to a regulatory authority or recall could result in an investigation by regulatory authorities of our products, which could in turn result in required recalls, restrictions on the sale of the products or other civil or criminal penalties. The adverse publicity resulting from any of these actions could cause customers to review and potentially terminate their relationships with us. These investigations, corrections or recalls, especially if accompanied by unfavorable publicity, patient injury or termination of customer contracts, could result in our incurring substantial costs, losing revenues and damaging our reputation, each of which would harm our business.

International sales of our products account for a significant portion of our revenue, which exposes us to risks inherent in international operations.

Our international sales have increased over the last four fiscal years. The percentages of our revenue derived from sales outside of the United States for the nine months ended March 31, 2012 and 2011 were 51% and 39%, respectively. To accommodate our international sales, we have invested significant financial and management resources to develop an international infrastructure that will meet the needs of our customers. We anticipate that a significant portion of our revenue will continue to be derived from sales of our products in foreign markets and that the percentage of our overall revenue that is derived from these markets may continue to increase. This revenue and related operations will therefore continue to be subject to the risks associated with international operations, including:

- Economic or political instability;
- Shipping delays;
- Changes in foreign regulatory laws governing, among other matters, the clearance, approval and sales of medical devices;
- The potential failure to comply with foreign regulatory requirements to market our products on a timely basis or at all;
- Difficulties in enforcing agreements with and collecting receivables from customers outside the United States;
- Longer payment cycles associated with many customers outside the United States;
- Adequate coverage and reimbursement for the CyberKnife and TomoTherapy treatment procedures outside the United States;
- Failure of local laws to provide the same degree of protection against infringement of our intellectual property;
- Protectionist laws and business practices that favor local competitors;
- The possibility that foreign countries may impose additional taxes, tariffs or other restrictions on foreign trade;
- Failure of Accuray employees or distributors to comply with export laws and requirements which may result in civil or criminal penalties and restrictions on our ability to export our products;
- The expense and difficulty of establishing and managing facilities and operations in foreign markets;
- Building an organization capable of supporting geographically dispersed operations;
- Risks relating to foreign currency, including fluctuations in foreign currency exchange rates; and
- Contractual provisions governed by foreign laws and various trade restrictions, including U.S. prohibitions and restrictions on exports of certain products and technologies to certain nations.

Our inability to overcome these obstacles could harm our business, financial condition and operating results. Even if we are successful in managing these obstacles, our partners internationally are subject to these same risks and may not be able to manage these obstacles effectively.

Our international operations are also subject to laws regarding the conduct of business overseas, such as the U.S. Foreign Corrupt Practices Act, or FCPA, and the U.K. Bribery Act of 2010. The FCPA prohibits the provision of illegal or improper inducements to foreign government officials in connection with the obtaining of business overseas. Violations of the FCPA or other similar laws by us or any of our employees, executive officers, distributors or other agents could subject us or the individuals involved to criminal or civil liability and could therefore materially harm our business.

[Table of Contents](#)

In addition, future imposition of, or significant increases in, the level of customs duties, export quotas, regulatory restrictions or trade restrictions could materially harm our business.

As a strategy to assist our sales efforts, we may offer extended payment terms, which may potentially result in greater payment defaults.

We offer longer or extended payment terms for qualified customers in some circumstances. As of March 31, 2012, customer contracts with extended payment terms of more than one year amounted to less than 4% of our receivable balances. While we qualify customers to whom we offer longer or extended payment terms, their financial positions may change adversely over the longer time period given for payment. This may result in an increase in payment defaults, which would affect our revenue.

Our liquidity could be adversely impacted by adverse conditions in the financial markets.

At March 31, 2012, we had \$151.3 million in cash and cash equivalents. The available cash and cash equivalents are held in accounts managed by third party financial institutions and consist of invested cash and cash in our operating accounts. The invested cash is invested in interest bearing funds managed by third party financial institutions, consisting of money market funds and certificates of deposit. To date, we have experienced no loss or lack of access to our invested cash or cash equivalents; however, we can provide no assurances that access to our invested cash and cash equivalents will not be impacted by adverse conditions in the financial markets.

At any point in time, we also have funds in our operating accounts that are with third party financial institutions that exceed the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation, or FDIC insurance limits. While we monitor daily the cash balances in our operating accounts and adjust the cash balances as appropriate, these cash balances could be impacted if the underlying financial institutions fail or become subject to other adverse conditions in the financial markets. To date, we have experienced no loss or lack of access to cash in our operating accounts.

We are required to comply with federal and state “fraud and abuse” laws, and if we are unable to comply with such laws, we could face substantial penalties and we could be excluded from government healthcare programs, which would adversely affect our business, financial condition and results of operations.

We are directly, or indirectly through our customers, subject to various federal, state and foreign laws pertaining to healthcare fraud and abuse. These laws, which directly or indirectly affect our ability to operate our business, primarily include but are not limited to the following:

- The federal Anti-Kickback Statute, which prohibits persons from soliciting, offering, receiving or providing remuneration, directly or indirectly, in cash or in kind, to induce either the referral of an individual, or furnishing or arranging for a good or service, for which payment may be made under federal healthcare programs such as Medicare and Medicaid;
- State law equivalents to the Anti-Kickback Statute, which may not be limited to government-reimbursed items;
- The Ethics in Patient Referral Act of 1989, also known as the Stark Law, which prohibits, subject to certain exceptions, physician referrals of Medicare and Medicaid patients to an entity providing certain “designated health services” if the physician or an immediate family member has any financial relationship with the entity. The Stark Law also prohibits the entity receiving the referral from billing for any good or service furnished pursuant to an unlawful referral;
- State law equivalents to the Stark Law, which may provide even broader restrictions and require greater disclosures than the federal law;
- The federal False Claims Act, which prohibits the knowing filing or causing the filing of a false claim or the knowing use of false statements to obtain payment from the federal government; and
- Similar laws in foreign countries where we conduct business.

The Physician Payment Sunshine Act (Sunshine Act), which was enacted by Congress as part of the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act on Dec. 14, 2011, requires medical device companies such as Accuray to track payments and all transfers of value to licensed physicians in the U.S. beginning January 1, 2012. Companies must report 2012 data by March 31, 2013. The government plans to post that data on a public searchable government-maintained website no later than September 2013.

Failure to comply with the data collection and reporting obligations imposed by the Sunshine Act can result in civil monetary penalties ranging from \$1,000 to \$10,000 for each payment or other transfer of value that is not reported (up to a maximum of \$150,000) and from \$10,000 to \$100,000 for each knowing failure to report (up to a maximum of \$1 million).

[Table of Contents](#)

The following arrangements with purchasers and their agents have been identified by the Office of the Inspector General of the Department of Health and Human Services as ones raising potential risk of violation of the federal Anti-Kickback Statute:

- Discount and free good arrangements that are not properly disclosed or accurately reported to federal healthcare programs;
- Product support services, including billing assistance, reimbursement consultation, marketing and other services specifically tied to support of the purchased product, offered in tandem with another service or program (such as reimbursement guarantee) that confers a benefit to the purchaser;
- Educational grants conditioned in whole or in part on the purchase of equipment, or otherwise inappropriately influenced by sales and marketing considerations;
- Research funding arrangements, particularly post-market research activities, that are linked directly or indirectly to the purchase of products or services, or otherwise inappropriately influenced by sales and marketing considerations; and
- Other offers of remuneration to purchasers that are expressly or impliedly related to a sale or sales volume, such as “prebates” and “upfront payment,” other free or reduced-price goods or services, and payments to cover costs of “converting” from a competitor’s products, particularly where the selection criteria for such offers vary with the volume or value of business generated.

We have various arrangements with physicians, hospitals and other entities which implicate these laws. For example, physicians who own our stock also provide medical advisory and other consulting and personal services. Similarly, we have a variety of different types of arrangements with our customers. For example, our shared ownership program entails the provision of our products to our customers under a deferred payment program, where we generally receive the greater of a fixed minimum payment or a portion of the revenues of services. Included in the fee we charge for the placement and shared ownership program are a variety of services, including physician training, educational and marketing support, general reimbursement guidance and technical support. In the past, we have also provided loans to our customers. We also provide research grants to customers to support customer studies related to, among other things, our CyberKnife and TomoTherapy Systems. Certain of these arrangements do not meet Anti-Kickback Statute safe harbor protections, which may result in increased scrutiny by government authorities having responsibility for enforcing these laws.

If our past or present operations are found to be in violation of any of the laws described above or other similar governmental regulations to which we or our customers are subject, we may be subject to the applicable penalty associated with the violation, including significant civil and criminal penalties, damages, fines, imprisonment and exclusion from the Medicare and Medicaid programs. The impact of any such violations may lead to curtailment or restructuring of our operations, which could adversely affect our ability to operate our business and our financial results. The risk of our being found in violation of these laws is increased by the fact that many of these laws are open to a variety of interpretations. Any action against us for violation of these laws, even if we successfully defend against it, could cause us to incur significant legal expenses, divert our management’s attention from the operation of our business and damage our reputation. If enforcement action were to occur, our reputation and our business and financial condition may be harmed, even if we were to prevail or settle the action. Similarly, if the physicians or other providers or entities with which we do business are found to be non-compliant with applicable laws, they may be subject to sanctions, which could also have a negative impact on our business.

Increased leverage as a result of the Notes offering may harm our financial condition and operating results.

As of March 31, 2012, we had total consolidated long-term liabilities of approximately \$93.0 million, including Notes in the amount of \$78.5 million. Our level of indebtedness could have important consequences to stockholders and note holders, because:

- it could affect our ability to satisfy our obligations under the Notes;

- a substantial portion of our cash flows from operations will have to be dedicated to interest and principal payments and may not be available for operations, working capital, capital expenditures, expansion, acquisitions or general corporate or other purposes;
- it may impair our ability to obtain additional financing in the future;
- it may limit our flexibility in planning for, or reacting to, changes in our business and industry; and
- it may make us more vulnerable to downturns in our business, our industry or the economy in general.

Our directors, executive officers and major stockholders own approximately 46.5% of our outstanding common stock as of March 31, 2012, which could limit other stockholders' ability to influence the outcome of key transactions, including changes of control.

As of March 31, 2012, our directors, executive officers, and current holders of 5% or more of our outstanding common stock, held, in the aggregate, approximately 46.5% of our outstanding common stock. This is a significant increase from the 23.8% of our outstanding common stock held by such persons as of September 30, 2011. This concentration of ownership may delay, deter or prevent a change of control of our company and will make some transactions more difficult or impossible without the support of these stockholders.

[Table of Contents](#)

Item 2.	Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds
	(a) <i>Sales of Unregistered Securities</i>
	None.
	(b) <i>Use of Proceeds from Public Offering of Common Stock</i>
	None.
	(c) <i>Purchases of Equity Securities by the Issuer and Affiliated Purchasers</i>
	None.
Item 3.	Defaults Upon Senior Securities
	None.
Item 4.	Mine Safety Disclosures
	Not applicable.
Item 5.	Other Information
	None.
Item 6.	Exhibits

<u>Exhibit Number</u>	<u>Description</u>
31.1	Certification of Chief Executive Officer Pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended.
31.2	Certification of Chief Financial Officer Pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended.
32.1	Certification of Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer Pursuant to Rule 13a-14(b) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, and 18 U.S.C. 1350
101.INS*	XBRL Instance Document
101.SCH*	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document
101.CAL*	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document
101.DEF*	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase Document
101.LAB*	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase Document
101.PRE*	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document

* XBRL (eXtensible Business Reporting Language) information is furnished and not filed or a part of a registration statement or prospectus for purposes of sections 11 or 12 of the Securities Act of 1933, is deemed not filed for purposes of section 18 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, and otherwise is not subject to liability under these sections.

[Table of Contents](#)

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

ACCURAY INCORPORATED

By: /s/ Euan S. Thomson, Ph.D.
Euan S. Thomson, Ph.D.
President and Chief Executive Officer

By: /s/ Derek Bertocci
Derek Bertocci
Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer

Date: May 8, 2012

Certifications

I, Euan S. Thomson, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this quarterly report on Form 10-Q of Accuray Incorporated, a Delaware corporation;
2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects, the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
4. The registrant's other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
 - a) designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
 - b) designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
 - c) evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
 - d) disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
5. The registrant's other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
 - a) all significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
 - b) any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: May 8, 2012

/s/ Euan S. Thomson, Ph.D.

Euan S. Thomson, Ph.D.

President and Chief Executive Officer

Certifications

I, Derek Bertocci, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this quarterly report on Form 10-Q of Accuray Incorporated, a Delaware corporation;
2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects, the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
4. The registrant's other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
 - a) designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
 - b) designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
 - c) evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
 - d) disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
5. The registrant's other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
 - a) all significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
 - b) any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: May 8, 2012

/s/ Derek Bertocci

Derek Bertocci

Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer

Certification of Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer

Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 1350, as created by Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, the undersigned officers of Accuray Incorporated, a Delaware corporation (the “Company”) hereby certify, to such officers’ knowledge, that:

(i) the accompanying Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q of the Company for the three months ended March 31, 2012 (the “Report”) fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or Section 15(d), as applicable, of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended; and

(ii) the information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Company.

Date: May 8, 2012

/s/ Euan S. Thomson, Ph.D.

Euan S. Thomson, Ph.D.

President and Chief Executive Officer

/s/ Derek Bertocci

Derek Bertocci

Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer
